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#### Abstract

On the basis of the Preparations so far, we are now ready to look at a formal derivation of dual scaling. As you may have guessed from the many names for the quantification method and from the earlier discussion of the many ideas behind dual scaling, there are a number of ways to formulate it. This paper contains a detailed description of one of them. Some readers may find this paper too technical $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ Many formulas are presented in this paper occasionally without sufficient background information.However, once of the basic idea is understood, technical matters can be mastered through self-directed endeavor, and this paper should serve such guide by offering bird's eye view of mathematical treatment of dual scaling.


## OPTIMAL SOLUTION

The Principle component analysis, in which the object is to determine weights for categories so as to maximize the variance of the composite scores, the analysis - of variance approach, in which category weights are determined so as to maximize the between row (column) sum of squares, relative to the total sum of squares, that is the squared correlation ratio, the bivariate correlation approach , in which the product - moment correlation between responses weighted by row weights and those by column weights maximized ,and the simultaneous linear regression approach ,in which row and column weights are determined so as to make the regression of rows on columns and the
regression of columns on rows simultaneously linear .This decomposition is a basis for the one -way analysis ,of variance and when data are continues ,the decomposition is uniquely determined in contrast when dated are categorical , relative magnitudes of those sums of squares vary, depending on weights given to the categories .In other words, one can manipulate weights to change the relative magnitudes of Each sum of squares. Dual scaling chooses the weights in a particular way, the weights are determined in such a way that the ratio $\mathrm{SS}_{b} / \mathrm{SS}_{t}$ that is the squared correlation ratio $\eta^{2}$. be a maximum, In our task is to determine weights $X_{j}$ so as to maximize $\eta^{2},(1)$ In terms of matrix notation see equation (1) , ( 2 ) , 3 ) and ( 4 )

|  | Good | Averg | Poor |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White | $f_{11}$ | $f_{12}$ | $f_{13}$ | $y_{1}=\sum x_{j} f_{1 j}$ |
| Green | $f_{21}$ | $f_{22}$ | $f_{23}$ | $y_{2}=\sum x_{j} f_{2 j}$ |
| Brown | $f_{31}$ | $f_{32}$ | $f_{33}$ | $y_{3}=\sum x_{j} f_{3 j}$ |
| Total | $\sum f_{j 1}$ | $\sum f_{j 2}$ | $\sum f_{j 3}$ | $\sum \sum x_{j} f_{i j}=y_{t}$ |

$$
s s_{b}=\sum\left(y_{j}^{2} / f_{j}\right)-y_{t}^{2} / f_{t}
$$

$f_{c}$ :the vector column totals of F
$\mathrm{D}_{r}$ :the diagonal matrix with row totals in the main diagonal
$\mathrm{D}_{c}$ : A diagonal diagonal matrix with column
totals in the main diagonal ${ }^{1}$
$\gamma$ : avector of weights for the rows of F
$\chi$ : avector of weights for the columns of F
$f_{t}$ : the sum of element of F , that is $\sum \sum f_{i j}$

Table 1

| Teacher | Good | Averge | Poor | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White | 1 | 3 | 6 | 10 |
| Green | 3 | 5 | 2 | 10 |
| Brown | 6 | 3 | 0 | 9 |
|  | 10 | 11 | 8 | 29 |

(2)

An illustrative example:
Let us follow the entire process of dual scaling, using the data in Table 1

That is the data used to illustrate the method of reciprocal averages. Let us assign unknown $x_{1} x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$ to three categories good, average and poor, respectively. Since the origin and the unit of quantified data are arbitrary, it is customary to see the sum of weighted responses and the sum of squares of weighted responses equal to zero and fi
( the total number of responses), respectively:
$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} f_{i j} x_{j}=0$
$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} f_{i j} x^{2}{ }_{j}$
$=f_{i}$
The choice of the origin by (1) makes the average of the weighted response zero, eliminating. The correction term
$S S_{t}=10 X_{1}^{2}+11 X_{2}^{2}+$
$8 X_{3}^{2}$
(3)
$S S_{b}=\frac{\left(x_{1}+3 x_{2}+6 x_{3}\right)^{2}}{10}+\frac{\left(3 x_{1}+5 x_{2}+2 x_{3}\right)^{2}}{10}+\frac{\left(6 x_{1}+3 x_{2}\right)^{2}}{9}$

2
(3),(4)

In the current example we have
$\mathrm{F}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 3 & 6 \\ 3 & 5 & 2 \\ 6 & 3 & 0\end{array}\right]$
$f_{r}=\left(\begin{array}{c}10 \\ 10 \\ 9\end{array}\right) \quad, \quad f_{c}=\left(\begin{array}{c}10 \\ 11 \\ 8\end{array}\right)$
$\begin{aligned} D_{r} & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}10 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 10 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 9\end{array}\right), \quad D_{c}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}10 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 11 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 8\end{array}\right), \quad f_{t}=29 \\ , \mathrm{y} & =\left(\begin{array}{l}y_{1} \\ y_{2} \\ y_{3}\end{array}\right)\end{aligned}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1=\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right) \\
& I=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{3}{ }_{(8)} \\
& f_{c}^{\prime} x=0 \\
& x^{\prime} D_{C} x=f_{t}  \tag{6}\\
& S S_{t}=x^{\prime} D_{C} x \\
& S S_{b}=x^{\prime} F^{\prime} D_{r}^{-1} F x
\end{align*}
$$

(8)
${ }^{1} F^{\prime} D_{r}^{-1} F x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 3 & 6 \\ 3 & 5 & 3 \\ 6 & 2 & 0\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\frac{1}{10} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{10} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{9}\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 3 & 6 \\ 3 & 5 & 2 \\ 6 & 3 & 0\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}x_{1} \\ x_{2} \\ x_{3}\end{array}\right)$
(5)

Carry out the remainder of this numerical verification step by step. You will find the expression to be identical with Equation (4).

The object of dual scaling in this approach is to determine $X$ so as to maximize the squared correlation ratio $\eta^{2}$, which is given by $S S_{b} / S S_{t}$.

This problem can be handled in two ways one is to maximize $S S_{b} / S S_{t}$ in terms of , and the other is to maximize $S S_{b}$ subject to the condtion that $S S_{t}=f_{t}$ as indicated by equation (7). Both lead to the same outcome. A widely used Procedure is the later one.
which is handled by the Well-Known Lagrange method of unknown multipliers .

Now, in the Lagrange method, the objective function for optimization is called Lagrange function which is in the present example given by :
$\mathrm{Q}(\chi, \lambda)=S S_{b}-\lambda\left(S S_{t}-f_{t}\right)$
$=x^{\prime} F^{\prime} D_{r}^{-1} F x-\lambda\left(x^{\prime} D_{C} x-f_{t}\right)$
${ }_{(6)}$ The function Q has two unknowns, $\boldsymbol{X}$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ and we wish to determine $X$ and $\lambda$ so as to maximize Q , Following the standard procedure
$D_{c}^{-1} F^{\prime} D_{r}^{-1} F=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}0.5 & 0.38 & 0.12 \\ 0.35 & 0.40 & 0.25 \\ 0.15 & 0.33 & 0.50\end{array}\right)$

To arriveat the standard form we will use the following transformation
$B=D_{r}^{-\frac{1}{2}} F D_{c}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$
(11)
$\mathrm{B}^{\prime} B=D_{c}^{-\frac{1}{2}} F^{\prime} D_{r}^{-1} F D_{c}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$
And setting $D^{\frac{1}{2}} x=w$
We obtain the following eigenequation in the standard form .
( $\left.\mathrm{B}^{\prime} B-\eta^{2} I\right) w$
$=0$
Equation (12) can be written as
${ }^{5}\left(0.5000-\eta^{2}\right) w_{1}+0.3623 w_{2}+0.1342 w_{3}=$ 0
$0.3623 w_{1}+\left(0.4000-\eta^{2}\right) w_{2}+0.2985 w_{3}=0$
$0.1342 w_{1}+0.2985 w_{2}+\left(0.5000-\eta^{2}\right) w_{3}=0$
Eigenequation (12) has two trival equation 1: $\mathrm{w}=0$
It is obvious that ( 17 ) is solution .But , as we have already this solution is avoided by solving the characteristic equation that is
$\left|\mathrm{B}^{\prime} B-\eta^{2} I\right|=0$
for maximizing quadratic function, we set the partial derivatives of Q with respect to $\boldsymbol{X}$ and y equal to zero .
$\frac{\partial \mathrm{Q}}{\partial x}=2 F^{\prime} D_{r}^{-1} F x-2 \lambda D_{c} x=$
0 ... (9)
$\frac{\partial \mathrm{Q}}{\partial \lambda}=x^{\prime} D_{C} x-f_{t}=0$
The first formula can be written as
$F^{\prime} D_{r}^{-1} F x$
$=\lambda D_{C} x$
If we premultiply both sides of this expression by $x^{\prime}$ we obtain
$x^{\prime} F^{\prime} D_{r}^{-1} F x=\lambda x^{\prime} D_{C} x$
$\lambda=\frac{x^{\prime} F^{\prime} D_{r}^{-1} F x}{x^{\prime} D_{C} x}=\eta^{2}$
(7)

Thus, the unknown multiplier $\lambda$ is nothing but the squared correlation ratio that we want to maximize.
There for equation (9) can be written
$\left(F^{\prime} D_{r}^{-1} F-\eta^{2} D_{C}\right) x=0$
In our example

Trival solution ${ }^{2}$
$\mathrm{w}=D_{c}^{\frac{1}{2}} 1 \quad$ that $\quad$ is $X=1$
(14)

In this case the corresponding eigenvalue $\eta^{2}$ is 1
. This can easily be shown as fallows .first rew rite equation (12) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}^{\prime} B \mathrm{w}=\eta^{2} \mathrm{w} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then if we substute
$\mathrm{w}=D_{c}^{\frac{1}{2}} 1$
For the left - hand side of equation (15) we obtain
$B^{\prime} B \mathrm{w}=D_{c}^{-\frac{1}{2}} F^{\prime} D_{r}^{-1} F D_{c}^{-\frac{1}{2}} D_{c}^{\frac{1}{2}} 1$
$D_{c}^{-\frac{1}{2}} F^{\prime} D_{r}^{-1} F 1$
$F 1=f_{r}$ then $D_{r}^{-1} f_{r}=1$
$D_{c}^{-\frac{1}{2}} F^{\prime} 1=D_{c}^{-\frac{1}{2}} f_{c}$
$=D_{c}^{\frac{1}{2}} 1$

By subsitut $\mathrm{w}=D_{c}^{\frac{1}{2}} 1$ for the right hand to equation (14)which gives $\eta^{2} D_{c}^{\frac{1}{2}} 1$
And compare this equation with equation(15) then $\eta^{2}=1$ when $\mathrm{w}=\mathrm{D}_{c} 1$, Wher $\boldsymbol{X}=1$
This allow us to put columns (categories ) in (10) do even $S S_{w}$ and $S S_{b}=$ zero then that $X=1$ amount to putting all the columns(categories) in to one, making both $S S_{w}$ and $S S_{b}$ Zeros , hence no information
to analyze for the move this"Solution" vector dose not satisfy the condition that $F^{\prime}{ }_{c} \mathcal{X}=0$.
Thus we must eliminate the second trivial solution from our computation .To carry out this task, the following theorem relevant :
LaGrange's Theorem (Rao 1965) : Let G be any square matrix of order $n$ and rank $m>0$, and p and q be column vector such that $P^{\prime} \mathrm{Gq}$ is not zero.
Then, the residual matrix $G_{1}$ is exactly of rank $\mathrm{m}-1$, where
$G_{1}=G-G q\left(P^{\prime} \mathrm{Gq}\right)^{-1} P^{\prime} \mathrm{G}$
(17)

We can use this formula to eliminate the second trivial solution from $B^{\prime} B$ of equation (11) is symmetric and that the trivial solution is an Eigen vector. Therefore, $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{a}$, say, and $\mathrm{Ga}=$ $\lambda$ a, an application of equation (17) to an Eigen equation yields the following formula for eliminating the effect of solution from an Eigen equation(5)
$G_{1}=G-\lambda \mathrm{a}\left(a^{\prime} \mathrm{a}\right)^{-1} a^{\prime}$
(18)

If we normalize $a$ to $a^{*}$ by $a^{*}=\frac{a}{\sqrt{a^{\prime} a}}$
that is $a^{* \prime} a^{*}=1$ then
$G_{1}=G-\lambda a^{*} a^{* \prime}$
This formula is used to extract the contribution of each successive solution in dual scaling and as such formula (19) is important to remember.
Applying formula (18) to our problem of eliminating the second trivial solution from the equation, we catenulate the residual matrix, indicated by $C_{1}$, noting a in (18) is $D_{C}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{I}$.as
$C_{1}=B^{\prime} B-\frac{D_{c}^{\frac{1}{2}} 1 I D_{c}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{f_{t}}$
Note that in deriving formula (20) we also used the relations $\eta^{2}=1$ and
$W^{\prime} W=1 D_{c}^{\frac{1}{2}} D_{c}^{\frac{1}{2}} 1=f_{t} \quad$ The last term of the right - hand side of formula (20) in the current example is given as :
$C_{1}^{(8,9)}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}0.1552 & 0.0006 & -0.1742 \\ 0.0006 & 0.0207 & -0.0250 \\ -0.1742 & -0.0250 & 0.2241\end{array}\right)$
Let us use $\left[\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 0 & -1\end{array}\right]$ as an initial vector $b_{0}$ then
$C_{1} b_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{c}0.3294 \\ 0.0256 \\ -0.3983\end{array}\right)=b_{1}$
The largest obsolete value in $b_{1}$ is 0.3983 . Thus $\max \left(b_{1}\right)=k_{1}=0.3983$ and
$b_{1}^{*}=\frac{\mathrm{b}_{1}}{0.3983}=\left(\begin{array}{c}0.8270 \\ 0.0643 \\ 1.000\end{array}\right) \quad$ There fore
${ }^{7}$ Equation (6) the vector $b_{5}^{*}$ must be rescaled
$C_{1} b_{1}^{*}=\left(\begin{array}{l}0.3026 \\ 0.0268 \\ -0.3698\end{array}\right)=b_{2} \quad, K_{2}=0.3698$
We repeat $\ldots .$.
$C_{1} b_{1}^{*}=b_{j+1} \quad, \frac{b_{j+1}}{\left|K_{j+1}\right|}=b_{j+1}^{*}$
Until we reach
$b_{j}^{*}=b_{j+1}^{*}$
(10)

Since $b_{4}=b_{5}$ the iterative process has converged to the solution and
$K_{5}=\eta_{1}^{2}=0.3683$, That $K_{5}$ is the largest Eigen value to obtain the corresponding weight vector that satisfies
Equation (6) states that $X^{\prime} D_{C} X=f_{t}$ But $X^{\prime} D_{C} X=W^{\prime} W$, and $f_{t}=29$ There fore
$\mathrm{W}=\sqrt{\frac{29}{b_{5}^{*^{\prime}} b_{5}^{*}}}-b_{5}^{*}=\left(\begin{array}{c}3.4029 \\ 0.3051 \\ -4.1626\end{array}\right)$
${ }^{8}$ The optimal weight vector $X_{1}$ is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1}=D_{c}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{w} & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{-1}{\sqrt{10}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{11}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
3.4029 \\
0.3051 \\
-4.1626
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{c}
1.0761 \\
0.0220 \\
-1.4717
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(11,12)

Therefore, optimal Weights for categories good, average, and poor are 1.0761, 0.0920, and 104717.

We can start in same way as we have shown, except that we look at y for x and the betweencolumn sum of squares for the between- row sum of squares. The squared correlation ratio $\eta^{2}$ is now defined as ratio of the between- column sum of squares to the total sum of squares
And this ratio is maximized with respect to y under the constraints $f^{\prime} \mathrm{y}=0$ and $y^{\prime} \mathrm{D}_{r} y=f_{t}$ Following the same procedure as before we obtain
$\left(\mathrm{B} B^{\prime}-\eta^{2} I\right) D_{r}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{y}=0$
Let $\eta$ be the positive square root of $\eta^{2}$. Then
$\mathrm{y}=\left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right) D_{r}^{-1} \mathrm{~F} \boldsymbol{X}$
There are two trivial solution as before, $D_{r}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{y}=0$ and $\mathrm{y}=1$.The second trivial vector always yields $\eta^{2}=1$.
So every process is patrolled to the previous problem. Indeed, there exists complete symmetry or duality between the two problems .Thus, rather than repeating the same process let us present an important relation between x and $y$.
$X=\left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right) D_{C}^{-1} F^{-1} \mathrm{y}$

Conclusion:
We can notice that $x_{1}<x_{2}<x_{3}$ and $y_{1}<$ $y_{2}<y_{3}$ i.e. we find that the best teach is the third with the best optimal weight for categories
$\qquad$
From the outset of dual scaling research the mean orientation has been to extend its applicability to a wider variety of categorical data .As arousal data (i.e. the main data types for correspondence analysis), but also purid comparison rank order, successive categories , sorting and multiway data matrices .
In addition to this wider applicability an analogue of discriminant analysis for categorical data has been developed under the name forced classification and the procedure of generalized forced classification is also available. Other computational procedures were also developed Including the method of successive data modification (S D M ) for quantification of ordered categories, partially optimal scaling for
data with preassigned weight or mixed (categorical plus continuous) data, and the piecewise method of reciprocal average for handling a large number of multiple- choice items. The introduction and discussion of many of these procedures would certainly be enough for one book- another reason for staying within the familiar territory of dual scaling .
Consequently there may be an excessive number of references to those studies conducted in Toronto.
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