PREDICTION OF POTENTIAL MUTATION OF CHICKEN CORONAVIRUS INTO FUTURE HUMAN CORONAVIRUS BASED ON SPIKE S1 GLYCOPROTEIN GENE

SHEKHMOUS H. HUSSEN, SHERZAD M. HUSSEIN, SARBAST I. MUSTAFA, RENAS H. ISA and MWAFAQ S. BARWARY Dept. of Animal Production, College of Agricultural Engineering Sciences, University of Duhok,

Kurdistan Region – Iraq

(Received: July 31, 2021; Accepted for Publication: October 7, 2021)

ABSTRACT

The high mutation rates of the chicken coronavirus (IBV) cause economic threats to the poultry industry. However, the most dangerous situation is the likelihood of changing its sequences into human coronavirus (COVID-19-like virus). Therefore, in the present study we aimed to investigate the possibility of genetic mutation of IBV to COVID-19. Thus, the sequences of Spike (S1) Glycoprotein genes of both IBV and COVID-19 were aligned, analyzed and calculated to predict the possible changes that could happen in the sequences of S1. The results indicated that in the case of an independent function of probability of each cluster of S1 sequences, the potential mutation rate in the sequences of S1 gene was low or equal to 1.87E-96. However, because the tendency for some sequence clusters of S1 gene was low or equal to zero, it is unattainable to mutate the chicken IBV into COVID-19 sequence. Furthermore, in case of the dependent function, the probability of assumed annual mutation to make IBV infectious for human may reach up to around 50% after about 260 years. As a conclusion, the mutating of chicken coronavirus into COVID-19-like virus is not impossible, but it might take a substantial period of time.

KEY WORDS: Chicken IBV, Coronavirus, COVID-19, Mutation, Statistical prediction.

INTRODUCTION

D ased on the genetic characteristics, the Ocoronavirinae family comprises four genera including Alpha-coronavirus, Betacoronavirus, Gamma-coronavirus, and Deltacoronavirus. Amongst all RNA viruses, the RNA genome of the coronavirus is the largest ranging from (26 to 32 kb). In human, the 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV or SARS-CoV-2) has rapidly spread more than any other betacoronavirus (SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV). Nevertheless, the molecular evolution and mutation rate of this virus remained unclear. In late 2020 the COVID-19 pathogen has exposed to a number of mutations in several countries which made changes in its expression and impacts on the human body (WHO, 2020). Ji et al., (2020) suggested that the 2019-nCoV might seem to be a recombinant virus between the bat coronavirus and an unknown-origin coronavirus. It also indicated that the human 2019-CoV's genetic information is almost similar to the chicken coronavirus, while its codon usage is

reveal that a homologous recombination occurs in the 2019-nCoV might contribute in its crossspecies transmission (Ji et al., 2020). In addition to humans, coronavirus can infect many different animal species, including chicken, bats, cattle, swine, horses, camels, dogs, rodents, rabbits, mink, snake, and other wildlife animals (WHO, 2003; Howley, 2013; MacLachlan and Dubovi, 2017). Structurally, the Alphacoronavirus group involves six members including human pathogens Cov229E and CoV-HKU1. While, the Betacoronavirus group includes the human pathogens CoV-OC43, SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV (Matoba et al., 2015; Myint, 1995; King et al., 2011; Lefkowitz et al., 2018). In poultry species, Infectious bronchitis virus (IBV) is the prototype species of the coronavirus family within the genus of Gamma coronavirus in the Nidovirales order, which is the type species of the coronavirus genus of the domestic chicken. It causes an acute and highly contagious disease which can cause substantial losses in the economy of the poultry industry worldwide

most similar bias to snakes. These findings

(Cavanagh 1997; Cavanagh and Naqi, 2003; Cavanagh 2005; Cook et al., 2012). IBV coronavirus is enveloped, non-segmented, single-stranded RNA's positive sense with the length around 27,608bp (NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_001451.1). The spike S1 protein of IB which is responsible for differentiation of IBV strains and acts as the prime aim of genotype description undergoes inhibitor of agglutination and stimulates neutralization of antibody. It has also a significant role in the attachment and entry of the virus into cells via cyanic acid receptors (Jackwood et al., 2012). However, for better designing of vaccination strategies to ensure greater protection against enzootic strains of IBV, it is important to well understand the epidemiological and changes that happen to the virus (Bourogâa, et al., 2014). A novel one, COVID-19, which has been first reported in China in December 2019, become a pandemic disease at 2020 (WHO, 2020). Because of the unknown behaviour of COVIDand similarity in the structure and 19 pathogenesis of the majority of CoVs (St-Jean et al., 2004; Butler et al., 2006; Yuan et al., 2017), investigation of potential mutations in the other strains of viruses in coronavirinae family from other animals including avian species like chicken should be carried out and taken into account. Several variants and classic genotypes of IBV have been widely distributed in geographically different countries (De Wit et al., 2011). Some of these genotypes and serotypes have close relationships with some strains of vaccine, while some variants were found specific to their geographical locations (Bande et al., 2017). New variant strains are emerged due to the involvement of mutation and recombination processes in the variations of the genotype and phenotype of chicken IBV (Ennaji et al., 2020). IBV and other coronaviruses are characterized by a high rate of mutation and a high rate of errors during the transcription of their genomes (Lai and Cavanagh, 1997). Therefore, the current study aimed to explore the possibility of genetic mutation of chicken's IBV to be COVID-19-like virus and its probable negative impact on the human being.

METHODOLOGY

The present study was conducted in Department of Animal Production, College of Agricultural Engineering Sciences, University of Duhok, Kurdistan Region-Iraq, at earlier period of 2021, where the epidemic COVID-19 has become more dangerous due to the consequent mutations; which may extended to other types of mutation or recombination with other pathogen strain in other organisms such as chicken. Accordingly, the aim of this investigation was to study the molecular comparison between chicken coronavirus and COVID-19 and the possibility of mutating IBV into the COVID-19like pathogen. The current study focused on the comparison of Spike S1 gene sequence of both IBV and COVID-19, because it is considered the main entrance agent to infect the organism; and also the possibility of occurring mutations that may activate on IBV and /or recombinant with COVID-19 pathogen which may change the former into the later-like agent and consequently infecting the human body, using probability rules and statistical predicting model.

Similarity analysis

For sequence alignment, the complete sequences of the Spike S1 gene of some common available IBV strains and COVID-19 were obtained from NCBI (<u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/</u>). Then, sequences of S1 of both coronaviruses (IBV and COVID-19) were aligned using the Geneious 8.0 software (Kearse *et al.*, 2012). The same software programs were used to compute the similarity correlations between sequences of the studied strains of coronaviruses.

Probability of mutation rate

Due to the unknown active sequence that may make the infection, so it was necessary to compute all possible probabilities of mutation rates of all sequence cluster of S1 gene (group of 10 successive nucleotides) sub-sequences. Some of such clusters have partial similarity with COVID-19 sequence. Therefore the probability of each cluster was calculated in order to compute the overall probability of mutation rate that may mutate the IBV into COVID-19-like pathogen. The data of alignment were analyzed in two trends; as multiplicative probability rule and as cumulative probability.

Statistical analysis

MSO Excel was used in computation according to the multiplicative probability rule which assumed independent effect of each cluster (no interaction between clusters), where the base number (4) represents the number of bases (nucleotides: A, G, C, T) powered by the number of hetero-bases than COVOD-19 sequence, which was calculated for each cluster alignment. Therefore, a total of 174 clusters, were analyzed (the number of homo-nucleotides and number of hetero-nucleotides of IBV was compared to COVID-19 sequence) and computed to illustrate potential mutation of IBV into COVID-19-like sequence. All statistical calculation was performed focused only on the possibility of potential changes of each heteronucleotide within S1 of IBV to be mutated into homo-nucleotide in the S1 of COVID-19-like pathogen. This means we expected that the potential mutation would be happened only in the hetero-nucleotides, while the homonucleotides between IBV and COVID-19 remain The main conserved. formula used for determining the probability was as follow:

p=1/power

Where: p= Probability; power = 4^{Λ} ^{Hetero} (4= No. of nucleotide (bases) as constant, Hetero = No. of hetero-bases that vary between IBV strains and COVID-19).

Furthermore, to analyze the correlation coefficients between possible similarity items for IBVs and COVID-19, the SPSS software (SPSS 2019) was used. SPSS was also used to predict the future infection possibility model for humans based on dependent probability rule which assumed that each cluster mutation may affect another cluster function (i.e. protein function); where the cumulative probability of events for all clusters was predicted based on supposing annual cluster mutating from IBV into COVID-19-like virus. Also, as for future predicting it may take in consideration the half interval period of all cluster mutations for virtual random mutations that may affect the similarity to expect the human infection probability. However, ARIMA model is a traditional time series procedure, used for predicting linear tendencies in stationary data (ARIMA is a non-stationary model enabling the differencing of the data series resulting from the model by changing first-difference of yt). ARIMA was applied according to the following model:

 Δ y t = c + a1 Δ y t-1 + a2 Δ y t-2 + ... + ap Δ y t-p + ε t - θ 1 ε t-1 - θ 2 ε t-2 - ... - θ q ε t-q

Where: $\Delta y t = yt - y t - 1 =$ the change in actual observations at time t; εt is the random error at the same time t; c and a are ARIMA model's parameters. ARIMA model assumes that the error tends to be zero and the variance is constant ((εt) = 0 and (εt) = σ 2); and satisfies

the sequence of independently and identically distributed (iid). Moreover, ARIMA is followed by (p, d, q); the p and q coefficients represent the order of the Autoregressive (AR) and Moving-Average (MA), respectively, and d represents the level of differencing (Chakraborty and Ghosh 2020).

RESULTS

Similarity

Following sequence alignment using Geneious 8.0 (Kearse *et al.*, 2012), the pairwise percentage identities between COVID-19 and virus strains Ma5, 4/91 and H120 of chicken's IBV, were 43%, 44% and 46%, respectively. While, higher identical sites were found between strains of IBV virus itself with a similarity of 78% between Ma5 and 4/91; 78% between H120 and 4/91 and 99.8% between Ma5 and H120 Table 1.

Table (1): Similarity correlation relationships (%) between virus strains of IBVs and COVID-

19					
	COVID19	Ma5	4/91		
Ma5	43				
4/91	44	78			
H120	46	99.80	78		

Analysis of the probability of similarity

The contrast among IBV strains and COVID-19 sequence may start from the nucleotide 232 up to nucleotide 1970, which equal to 1739 bases as illustrated in Figures 1- (a, b, c, d, e, f and g). Figure 1-a, shows the start point of Alignments at nucleotide 232 which is adenine (A) that common for all studied strains. This nucleotide point has additional base (G) for COVID-19 compared to other three strains of IBV, so the last strains need to mutate twice (once for inserting guanine-G; and the other to alter the sequence of the four bases A, G, C and T, when be hetero), which has inverse probability of bases number-b (b=4 bases) powered by the number of hetero-bases -t $(1/b^{h})$, such as at the first cluster that equal to $1/4^{4}$ (0.00390625) mutation rate, and so on Figure 1-a.

4/91	185	195	205	215	225	235 -ATGTTGGGC
H120						-AIGIIGGIA
Ma5						-ATGTTGGTA
COVID19	AATGTTACTT	GGTTCCATGC	TATACATGTC	TCTGGGACCA	ATGGTACTAA	GAGGTTTGAT
	245	255	265	275	285	295
4/91	AAACCGCTTT	TACTAG	TGACTCT-TT	GGTATGCACT	ATGTAGTGCT	TTGCTTTATG
H120	ACACCTCTTT	TACTAG	TGACTCT-TT	TGTGTGCACT	ATGTAGTGCT	GCTTTGTATG
Ma5	ACACCTCTTT	TACTAG	TGACTCT-TT	TGTGTGCACT	ATGTAGTGCT	GCTTTGTATG
COVID19	AACCCTGTCC	TACCATTTAA	TGATGGTGTT	TATTTTGCTT	CCACTGAGAA	GTCTAACATA
	···· ··· 305	···· ··· 315	···· ··· 325	335	···· ··· 345	355
4/91	ΑΤΑΑΑΑΑΤΑΟ	TTACGTTTAC	ТАСТАССААА	GTGCCTTTAG	GCCTGGTCAA	GGTTGGCATC
H120	ACAGTAGTTC	TTACGTGTAC	ΤΑCTACCAAA	GTGCCTTCAG	ACCACCTGAT	GGTTGGCATT
Ma5	ACAGTAGTTC	TTACGTGTAC	ТАСТАССААА	GTGCCTTCAG	ACCACCTGAT	GGTTGGCATT
COVID19	ATAAGAGGCT	GGATTTTTGG	ΤΑCTACTTTA	G-ATTCGAAG	ACCCAGTCCC	TACTTATTGT
	 365	···· ··· 375	 385	···· ··· 395	 405	 415
4/91	TACATGGGGG	TGCTTATGCA	GTAGATAAGG	TTTTTAA	TGGAACCAAC	AATGCAGTCA
H120	TACATGGGGG	TGCGTATGCG	GTTGTTAATA	TTTCTAG	TGAATCTAAT	AATGCAGGCT
Ma5	TACATGGGGG	TGCGTATGCG	GTTGTTAATA	TTTCTAG	TGAATCTAAT	AATGCAGGCT
COVID19	TA-ATAACGC	TACTAATGTT	GTTATTAAAG	TCTGTGAATT	TCAATTTTGT	AATGATCCAT
	425	435	•••• •••• 445	•••• •••• 455	•••• •••• 465	•••• •••• 475
4/91	GTGTATCTGA	TTGC-ACTGC	TGGTACTTTT	TATGAAAGCT	ΑΤΑΑΤΑΤΤΤΟ	TGCTGCTTCT
H120	CTTCATCTGG	GTGT-ACTGT	TGGTATTATT	CATGGTGGTC	GTGTTGTTAA	TGCTTCTTCT
Ma5	CTTCATCTGG	GTGT-ACTGT	TGGTATTATT	CATGGTGGTC	GTGTTGTTAA	TGCTTCTTCT
COVID19	TTTTGGGTGT	TTATTACCAC	ΑΑΑΑΑΑΑΑΑΑ	AAAGTTGGAT	GGAAAGTGAG	TTCAGAGTTT

Fig. (1-a): First Partial Alignments for comparison among the studied strains of coronavirus (IBV strains vs. COVID-19)

However, the probability of mutate rate changing IBV into COVID-19-like-virus from 25 sequence clusters of Figure 1- (a) is equal to 7.67046 E-93 as illustrated in Table 2. Fortunately, this numeric probability is very small. The same trend was conducted for the 23 sequence clusters alignment of Figure 1-b (the 585 cluster alignment was excepted) and so on for others. Thus, all other cluster alignments have been computed and illustrated in Table 3. Moreover, the probability of all clusters of sequences from the Figure 1-a, b, c, d, e, f and g are illustrated in Table 3.

The correlation coefficients between the items of mutation rate probability (Table 4)

showed logically that the association between the numbers of hetero-bases of each cluster and the known bases number (4) powered by such hetero-bases number was positive (0.572) and highly significant (p < 0.01); also, the correlation coefficient between the numbers of hetero-bases of each cluster and the probability of mutated IBV into like-COVID-19 sequence was negative (-0.542) and highly significant (p<0.01); this mean that each increasing in hetero-base number in the cluster reduces significantly the probability of mutating rate. However, the correlation coefficients between the other studied items were insignificant (p>0.05) as shown in Table 4.

 Table (2): Calculation of hetero-nucleotides and computing the probability of mutation rate

 for the first 25 clusters alignment

Cluster No	Alignmen ts	Total bases	Hom o	Heter o	Power (4 ^{^Hetero})	Probability (1/Power)	Cumulative Multiplicative probability
1	235	9	5	4	256	0.00390625	0.00390625
2	245	10	4	6	4096	0.000244141	9.53674E-07
3	255	6	5	1	4	0.25	2.38419E-07
4	265	10	6	4	256	0.00390625	9.31323E-10
5	275	10	3	7	16384	6.10352E-05	5.68434E-14
6	285	10	1	9	262144	3.8147E-06	2.1684E-19
7	295	10	3	7	16384	6.10352E-05	1.32349E-23
8	305	10	4	6	4096	0.000244141	3.23117E-27
9	315	10	0	10	1048576	9.53674E-07	3.08149E-33
10	325	10	7	3	64	0.015625	4.81482E-35

11	335	10	3	7	16384	6.10352E-05	2.93874E-39
12	345	10	3	7	16384	6.10352E-05	1.79366E-43
13	355	10	2	8	65536	1.52588E-05	2.73691E-48
14	365	10	5	5	1024	0.000976563	2.67276E-51
15	375	10	4	6	4096	0.000244141	6.5253E-55
16	385	10	7	3	64	0.015625	1.01958E-56
17	395	10	3	7	16384	6.10352E-05	6.22302E-61
18	405	10	5	5	1024	0.000976563	6.07716E-64
19	415	10	5	5	1024	0.000976563	5.93473E-67
20	425	10	3	7	16384	6.10352E-05	3.62227E-71
21	435	10	4	6	4096	0.000244141	8.84344E-75
22	445	10	1	9	262144	3.8147E-06	3.3735E-80
23	455	10	4	6	4096	0.000244141	8.23609E-84
24	465	10	2	8	65536	1.52588E-05	1.25673E-88
25	475	10	3	7	16384	6.10352E-05	7.67046E-93

|....|
|....|
|....|
|....|

 485
 495
 505
 515
 525
 535

 GTAGCCATG --ACAGTACC
 ACCTGCTGGT
 ATGTCTT-- GGTCAGTTTC
 ACAG--TTTT
 4/91 ATAGCTATG- --ACGGCACC GTCATCAGGT ATGGCTT--- GGTCTAGCAG TCAG--TTTT ATAGCTATG- --ACGGCACC GTCATCAGGT ATGGCTT--- GGTCTAGCAG TCAG--TTTT H120 Ma5 COVID19 ATTCTAGTGC GAATAATTGC ACTTTTGAAT ATGTCTCTCA GCCTTTTCTT ATGGACCTTG
|....|
|....|
|....|
|....|

 545
 555
 565
 575
 585
 595
 545555565575585595GTACAGCTCA TTGTAACTTC TCAGACTTTA CAGTGTTTGT-----T ACGCATTGTTGTACTGCATA CTGTAACTTT TCAGATACTA CAGTGTTTGT-----T ACACATTGTTGTACTGCATA CTGTAACTTT TCAGATACTA CAGTGTTTGT-----T ACACATTGTTGTACTGCATA CTGTAACTTT TCAGATACTA CAGTGTTTGT-----T ACACATTGTT 4/91 H120 Ma5 COVID19 AAGGAAAACA GGGTAATTTC AAAAATCTTA GGGAATTTGT GTTTAAGAAT ATTGATGGTT TTAAAAGTCA ACAAGGTAGT TGTCCATTGA CAGGTATGAT TCCTCAGAAT CATATTCGTA ACAAA----- -CATGTTGGG TGTCCTATAA CTGGCATGCT TCAACAGCAT TCTATACGTG ATAAA----- -CATGGTGGG TGTCCTATAA CTGGCATGCT TCAACAGCAT TCTATACGTG ATTTTAAAAT ATATTCTAAG CACACGCCTA TTAATTTAGT GCGTGATCTC CCTCAGGGTT 4/91 H120 Ma5 COVID19
|....|
|....|
|....|
|....|

 665
 675
 685
 695
 705
 715
 4/91 TTTCTGCTAT GAGATCTGGA TTTTTGTTTT ATAATTTAAC AGTTAGCGTA TCTAAATACC TTTCTGCTAT GAAAAATGGC CAGCTTTTT ATAATTTAAC AGTTAGTGTA GCTAAGTACC TTTCTGCTAT GAAAAATGGC CAGCTTTTCT ATAATTTAAC AGTTAGTGTA GCTAAGTACC TTTCGGCTTT AGAACC--AT TGGTAGATTT GCCAATAGGT A-TTAACATC ACTAGGTTTC H120 Ma5 COVID19

Fig. (1-b):. Second partial Alignments for comparison among the studied strains of coronavirus (IBV strains vs. COVID-19)

	725	735	745	755	765	775
4/91	CTAAATTTAA	ATCGCTTCAA	TGTGTTGGCA	ATTCTACATC	TGTC	TATTTAAATG
H120	CTACTTTTAA	ATCATTTCAG	TGTGTTAATA	ATTTAACATC	CGTA	TATTTAAATG
Ma5	CTACTTTTAA	ATCATTTCAG	TGTGTTAATA	ATTTAACATC	CGTA	TATTTAAATG
COVID19	AAACTTTA	CTTGCTTTA-	CATAGAAGTT	ATTTGACTCC	TGGTGATTCT	TCTTCAGGTT
	785	795	805	815	825	835
4/91	GTGATCTTGT	TTTCACTTCT	AATGAAACAA	CTCACGTTAC	GGGTGCAGGC	GTTTATTTTA
H120	GTGATCTTGT	TTACACCTCT	ΔΑΤGΔGΔCCΔ	CAGATGTTAC	ATCTGCAGGT	GTTTATTTTA
Ma5	GTGATCTTGT	TTACACCTCT	ΔΑΤΘΟΘΑΟΟΑ	CAGATGTTAC	ATCTGCAGGT	GTTTATTTTA
COVID19	GENENGETEE	тестеслест	TATTATETEE	GTTATCTTCA		TTTCTATTAA
COVIDIO	GUACAGETUG	Iderdeader	TATTATUTU	UTIATCTICA	ACCIAGGACI	THCIAITAA
	1 I I	1 I I	1 I I	1 I I	1 I I	1 I I
	845	855	865	875	885	895
4/91	AAAGTGGTGG	GCCTGTAACT	TATAAAGTTA	TGAAAGAAGT	TAAAGCCCTA	GCCTACTTTA
H120	AAGCTGGTGG	ACCTATAACT	TATAAAGTTA	TGAGAGAAGT	TAGAGCCCTG	GCTTATTTTG
Ma5	AAGCTGGTGG	ACCTATAACT	TATAAAGTTA	TGAGAGAAGT	TAGAGCCCTG	GCTTATTTTG
COVID19	AATATAATGA	AAATGGAACC	ATTACAGA	TGCTGTAGAC	TGTGCACTTG	ACCCTCTCTC
	905	915	925	935	945	955
4/91	TTAATGGTAC	CGCACAA	GAGGTTATTT	TATGTGATAA	CTCACCTAGA	GGTTTGCTTG
H120	TTAATGGTAC	TGCACAA	GATGTTATTT	TGTGTGATGG	GTCACCTAGA	GGCTTGTTAG
Ma5	TTAATGGTAC	TGCACAA	GATGTTATTT	TGTGTGATGG	GTCACCTAGA	GGCTTGTTAG
COVID19	AGAAACAAAG	TGTACGTTGA	AATCCTTCAC	TGTAGAAAAA	GGAATCTATC	ΑΑΑCTTCTAA

Fig. (1-c): Third partial Alignments for comparison among the studied strains of coronavirus (IBV strains vs. COVID-19)

4/91 H120 Ma5 COVID19	 965 CAT CAT CAT CTTTAGAGTC	975 GTCAGTAT GCCAGTAT GCCAGTAT CAACCAACAG	985 AAC ACTGG AAT ACTGG AAT ACTGG AAT ACTGG AAT CTATTGT	 995 TAATTTTTCA CAATTTTTCA CAATTTTTCA TAGATTTCCT	 1005 GATG GATG GATG AATATTACAA	 1015 GATTCTACCC GCTTTTATCC GCTTTTATCC ACTTGTGCCC
4/91 H120 Ma5 COVID19	 1025 TTTTACTAAT TTTTACTAAT TTTTACTAAT TTTTGGTGA-	1035 TCTTCTTTAG AGTAGTTTAG AGTAGTTTAG AGTTTTTAAC	1045 TTAAGGATAG TTAAGCAGAA TTAAGCAGAA GCCACCAGAT	 1055 GTTTAT-TGT GTTTAT-TGT GTTTAT-TGT TTGCATCTGT	1065 ATATCGAGAA CTATCGTGAA CTATCGTGAA TTATGCTTGG	 1075 AGTAGCACTA AATAGTGTTA AATAGTGTTA AACAGGAAGA
4/91	 1085 ACACTA-CTT	 1095 TAGAGTTAAC	 1105 TAATTTCACT	 1115 TTTACTAATG	 1125 TAAGTAATGC	 1135
H120 Ma5 COVID19	ATACTA-CTT ATACTA-CTT GAATCAGCAA	TTACGTTACA TTACGTTACA CTGTGTTGCT	CAATTTCACT CAATTTCACT GATTATTCTG	TTTCATAATG TTTCATAATG TCCTATATAA	AGACTGGCGC AGACTGGCGC TTCCGCATCA	CAACCCAAAT CAACCCAAAT TTTTCCACTT

Fig. (1-d): Fourth partial Alignments for comparison among the studied strains of coronavirus (IBV strains vs. COVID-19)

4/91 H120 Ma5 COVID19	 1205 CAGATTCATT	 1215 TATAATTTTA TATAATTTTA TATAATTTTA TGTAATTAGA	ATTTATCATT ATTTTCCTT ATTTTCCTT GGTGATGAAG	 1235 TCTGAGT TCTGAGT TCTGAGT TCAGACAAAT	 1245 AGTTTTGTG- AGTTTTGTT- AGTTTTGTT- CGCTCCAGGG	 1255 TATAA TATAA CAAACTGGAA
4/91 H120 Ma5 COVID19	 1265 ACCAT-CTGA GGAGT-CTAA GGAGT-CTAA AGATTGCTGA	···· 1275 TTTTATGTAT TTTTATGTAT TTTTATGTAT TTATAATTAT	 1285 G G AAATTACCAG	···· 1295 GGTCATAC GATCTTAT GATCTTAT ATGATTTTAC	 1305 CACCCAAATT CACCCAAGTT CACCCAAGTT AGGCTGCGTT	 1315 GTAATTTTAG GTAATTTTAG GTAATTTTAG ATAGCTTGGA
4/91 H120 Ma5 COVID19	1325 ACCAGAG-AA ACTAGAA-AC ACTAGAA-AC ATTCTAACAA	 1335 TATTAATAAT TATTAATAAT TATTAATAAT TCTTGATTCT	 1345 GGCTTATG GGTTTGTG GGTTTGTG AAGGTTGGTG	 1355 GTTTAATTCA GTTTAATTCA GTTTAATTCA GTAATTATAA	 1365 TTATCTGTGT CTTTCAGTTT CTTTCAGTTT TTACCTGTAT	 1375 CACTTACTTA CAATTGCTTA CAATTGCTTA AGATTGTTTA
4/91 H120 Ma5 COVID19	 1385 CGGACCCA CGGTCCTC CGGTCCTC GGAAGTCTAA	···· ··· 1395 -TTCAAGGTG -TTCAAGGTG -TTCAAGGTG TCTCAAACCT	 1405 GTTGTAAGCA GTTGCAAGCA GTTGCAAGCA TTTGAGAGAGA	 1415 ATCTGTTTTT ATCTGTCTTT ATCTGTCTTT ATATTTCAAC	 1425 AGTAATAAAG AGTGGTAGAG AGTGGTAGAG TGAAATCTAT	 1435 CAACTTGTTG CAACCTGTTG CAACCTGTTG CAGGCCGGTA
4/91 H120 Ma5 COVID19	 1445 CTATGCTTAT TTATGCTTAC TTATGCTTAC GCACACCTTG	 1455 TCTTAC TCATAT TCATAT TAATGGTGTT	 1465 CGAGGTCCTA GGAGGTCCTT GGAGGTCCTT GAAGGTTTTA	 1475 CTAGATGTAA TGCTGTGTAA TGCTGTGTAA ATTGTTACTT	 1485 GGGTGTTTAT AGGTGTTTAT AGGTGTTTAT TCCTTTACAA	 1495 AGAGGGGAGC TCAGGTGAGT TCAGGTGAGT TCATATGGTT

Fig. (1-e): Fifth partial Alignments for comparison among the studied strains of coronavirus (IBV strains vs. COVID-19)

	1505					1555
4/91	TAACGCAATA	CTTTGAATGT	GGACTTCTAG	TTTATGTAAC	TAAGAGTGAT	GGCTCTCGTA
H120	TAGATCATAA	TTTTGAATGT	GGACTGTTAG	TTTATGTTAC	TAAGAGCGGT	GGCTCTCGTA
Ma5	TAGATCATAA	TTTTGAATGT	GGACTGTTAG	TTTATGTTAC	TAAGAGCGGT	GGCTCTCGTA
COVID19	TCCAAC-CCA	CTAATGGTGT	TGGTTACCAA	CCATACAGAG	TAGTAGTACT	TTCTTTTGAA
	1565	1575	1585	1595	1605	1615
4/91	TACAAACTAG	AAGTGAACCA	CTGGTGTTAA	СТСААТАТАА	TTATAACAAC	ΑΤΤΑCΤΤΤΑΑ
H120	TACAAACAGC	CACTGAACCG	CCAGTTATAA	CTCAACACAA	ΤΤΑΤΑΑΤΑΑΤ	ΑΤΤΑCΤΤΤΑΑ
Ma5	TACAAACAGC	CACTGAACCG	CCAGTTATAA	CTCAACACAA	ΤΤΑΤΑΑΤΑΑΤ	ΑΤΤΑCΤΤΤΑΑ
COVID19	CTTCTACATG	CACC-AGCAA	CTGTTTGTGG	ΑССТААА-АА	GTCTACTAAT	TTGGTTAAAA
	1625	1635	1645	1655	1665	1675
4/91	ATAAGTGTGT	TGAGTATAAT	ATATATGGTA	GAGTTGGTCA	AGGTTTTATT	ACTAA-TGTA
H120	ATACTTGTGT	TGATTATAAT	ATATATGGCA	GAACTGGCCA	AGGTTTTATT	ACTAA-TGTA
Ma5	ATACTTGTGT	TGATTATAAT	ATATATGGCA	GAACTGGCCA	AGGTTTTATT	ACTAA-TGTA
COVID19	ACAAATGTGT	CAATTTCAAC	TTCAATGGTT	TAACAGGCAC	AGGTGTTCTT	ACTGAGTCTA
	1685	1695	1705	1715	1725	1735
4/91	ACTGAAGCAA	CTGCTAATTA	TAGTTATCTA	GCAGATGGTG	GTTTAGCTAT	TTTAGATACT
H120	ACCGACTCAG	CTGTTAGTTA	ΤΑΑΤΤΑΤCTA	GCAGACGCAG	GTTTGGCTAT	TTTAGATACA
Ma5	ACCGACTCAG	CTGTTAGTTA	ΤΑΑΤΤΑΤCTA	GCAGACGCAG	GTTTGGCTAT	TTTAGATACA
COVID19	ACAAAAAGTT	TCTGCCTTTC	CAACAATTTG	GCAGAGACAT	TGCTGACACT	ACTGATGCTG
	1745	4755		4775	4705	
4.001	1/45	1/55	1/05	1//5	1/65	1/95
4/91	TCTC CTTCC	ATAGACATAT	TTGTCGTACA		ATATCCTCTT	
MaE		ATAGACATCT	TTCTCCTACA		ATATCCTCTT	
	TCCGTGATCC	ACAGACACTT	CAGATTOTTO		ATGTTCTTTT	GETGETETCA
	TCCGTGATCC	ACAGACACTT	GAGATICITO	ACATTACACC	AIGHTCHTT	

Fig. (1-f): Sixth partial Alignments for comparison among the studied strains of coronavirus (IBV strains vs. COVID-19)

74

	1805	1815	1825	1835	1845	1855
4/91	AGGTTAAT	CCCTGTGA-A	GATGTTAACC	AACAGTTTGT	AGTGTCT	GGTGGCA
H120	AGGTTAAC	CCTTGCGA-A	GATGTCAACC	AGCAGTTTGT	AGTTTCT	GGTGGTA
Ma5	AGGTTAAC	CCTTGCGA-A	GATGTCAACC	AGCAGTTTGT	AGTTTCT	GGTGGTA
COVID19	GTGTTATAAC	ACCAGGAACA	ΑΑΤΑCTTCTA	ACCAGGTTGC	TGTTCTTTAT	CAGGATGTTA
	1865	1875	1885	1895	1905	1915
4/91	ATTTAGTTGG	CATTCTTACA	TCTCATAATG	-AAACAGATT	CTGAATTTAT	TGA-GAACCA
H120	AATTAGTAGG	TATTCTTACT	TCACGTAATG	-AGACTGGTT	CCCAGCTTCT	TGA-GAATCA
Ma5	AATTAGTAGG	TATTCTTACT	TCACGTAATG	-AGACTGGTT	CCCAGCTTCT	TGA-GAATCA
COVID19	ACTGCACAGA	AGTCCCTGTT	GCTATTCATG	CAGATCAACT	TACTCCTACT	TGGCGTGTTT
	1925	1935	1945	1955	1965	1975
4/91	GTTTTACA	-TCAAAC	TCACTAACG-	GAACACGTCG	CTCTAGACGT	
H120	GTTTTACA	-TCAAAA	TCACTAATG-	GAACACGTCG	TTTTAGACGT	
Ma5	GTTTTACA	-TCAAAA	TCACTAATG-	GAACACGTCG	TTTTAGACG-	
COVID19	ATTCTACAGG	TTCTAATGTT	TTTCAAACAC	GTGCAGGCTG	TTTAATAGGG	GCTGAACATG

Fig. (1-g):. Seventh partial Alignments for comparison among the studied strains of coronavirus (IBV strains vs. COVID-19)

Table 3 . Cumulative M	lutation rate prob	abilities of all s	sequence clusters	alignment

Figure 1-	Sequence cluster No.	Mean of Cluster's Mutation rate	Cumulative Multiplicative probability
а	25	0.255465126	7.67046E-93
b	23	0.003806591	1.4028E-191
С	24	0.000842571	1.6418E-288
d	24	0.001214504	8.9003E-308 to 0
е	29	0.002799511	0
f	30	0.003920174	0
g	17	0.001889397	0
Total	172	0.016112496	1.87E-96

Table 4. Correlation coefficients between mutation rate items and probability to mutate

		Power (4^ ^{Hetero})	Probability (1/ Power)	Cumulative Multiplicative probability
Hetero number	Pearson Correlation	.572**	542 ^{**}	049
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.518
	Ν	174	174	174
Power	Pearson Correlation	1	109	026
(4 ^{A Hetero})	Sig. (2-tailed)		.152	.735
	Ν	174	174	174
Probability	Pearson Correlation	109	1	014
(1/ Power)	Sig. (2-tailed)	.152		.852
	Ν	174	174	174

**= significant at (p<0.01)

Future prediction

Irrespective to the findings of multiplicative probability, if the spontaneous mutation occurred randomly for just one cluster annually (hetero-bases of IBV convert into COVID-19like sequence), thus for the upcoming successive years, and for probable mutations taken as accumulative probability rate, then during the next 174 years (up to the year 2194) the probability of mutation rate will be about 0.415 Figure 2. Hence, and for predicting the highest probable event during the half period of the studied years (equal to the numbers of common clusters for random mutations), and during the upcoming 87 additional years (up to the year 2281), the probability of mutation rate will become about 0.475 Figure 2. The ARIMA model of predicting mutation rate for the future

indicating that the probability may reach the half of happening event as an upper critical level of forecasting Figure 2. However, the ARIM model's fit and description are presented in Table 5; where the ARIMA type resulted in (0,1,0) model, that is mean the model is a random walk without autoregressive (p) and moving average (q) estimates and with a level of differencing (d) equal 1(optimum walk model). Also, the stationary R-squared is high and equal to (0.975) with absolute maximum value of Rsquare Table 5. The model statistics of ARIMA prediction are shown in Table 6. The results of the Ljung-Box indicating a non-significant (p>0.05) effect of the model; which may give hope to no more mutating in the future as opposite expected. as

Table (5): Model fit and description Model Fit and Description							
Stationary R-squared	.975	(Cumulative_Prob)	ARIMA(0,1,0)				
R-squared	1.000						
RMSE	.001	-					
MAPE	1.700	-					
MaxAPE	59.045	-					
MAE	.001	-					
MaxAE	.003	-					
Normalized BIC	-12.951	-					

Table 6. Model statistics of ARIMA prediction

Model Statistics									
Model	Number of Predictors	Model Fit statistics	Ljung-Box Q(18)			Number of Outliers			
		Stationary R- squared	Statistics	DF	Sig.	-			
Cumulative_Prob-Model_1	0	.975	16.708	18	.543	13			



Fig. (2): Prediction mutating rate of IBV into COVID-19-like virus in the future

DISCUSSION

All viruses (including chicken IBV) naturally mutate over time. Previous studies indicated that there were huge changes in genetic diversity and genotypes of IBV strains over the past decade, and thus the presence of multiple variants of IBV and the increasing of its genotypes has caused serious challenges for suppressing IBV through vaccination (Fan et al., 2019). Chicken IBV like other coronaviruses, has high rate of mutation and recombination which cause genetic variation through rapid replication (Ennaji et al., 2020). Furthermore, high rate of errors and limited capability of proofreading of the viral RNA polymerase produced different types of mutations such as substitutions, insertions and deletions (Lai and Cavanagh 1997; Umar et al., 2016). Although huge investigations on mutation cases in both pathogens IBV and COVID-19 have been reported, prediction of potential mutation of IBV virus into future COVID-19like viruses has not been indicated yet (Wang and Huang 2020; Cavanagh 2005; Lin and Chen 2017; Fischer et al., 2020; Ji et al., 2020; Baig et al., 2020).

Previous studies used Spike S1 protein as a marker to track and analyze mutation as it mediates infection of 2019-nCoV in the mammalian cells and is also the target of vaccine

strategies (Korber et al., 2020). The S protein also mediates virus attachment and membrane fusibility during contagion (King et al., 2011). Therefore, in the present study, data were analyzed based on the sequences of S1 gene. Through comprehensive analysis of the available sequences of S1 gene of 2019-nCoV and IBV strains, we have tracked only hetero-nucleotide bases between both strains (Chicken IBV and Human 2019-nCoV). This study indicated that the average of similarity correlation (pairwise percentage identity) of S1 gene sequences between COVID-19 and IBV strains is relatively high about 43% to 46% Table1. Based on the S1 glycoprotein sequences, 20% to 25% variations between serotypes of chicken IBV were found and sometimes such variations can be as high as 50%, which impacts the cross-protection against et al., viral strains (Cavanagh 1992). Correspondingly, our analysis of S1 alignment also found high identical sites between strains of IBV virus itself with similarity 78% to 99.8% Table 1. Lately, phylogenetic analysis based on investigation of S1 gene of IBV has recognized more than 30 distinct lineages and six different genotypes of virus which are present in different geographical locations (De Wit et al., 2011; Valastro et al., 2016). This indicates that the potential mutation in chicken IBV over time could cause the S1 gene of IBV to be mutated into COVID-19-like virus in its behaviour (infection) in the future.

The virtual changes in S1 of IBV toward COVID-19-like virus Figures 1-a, b, c, d, e, f and g is built upon the random mutations that occur each moment and also the gene expression that is adequate to the common environmental factors which encourage such converting. In order to adapt to a large pool of species, coronaviruses undergo rapid mutation and recombination (Wu et al., 2020). Nonetheless, on the other hand, the huge numbers of nucleotides and clusters in RNA sequence of IBV S1 gene or in its whole genome may not permit to conversion it within a short time into COVID-10- like pathogen. However, time as an active factor affecting the biological variables, may change S1 gene sequence into COVID-19like sequences during long life intervals via slowly and silent mutations. Phylogenetic analysis indicated that the IBV is closer to COVID-19 than that of both bat and snake (Ji et al., 2020). However, the possibility of mutating S1 gene of IBV into COVID-19-like virus (Tables 2 and 3) is related to two main causes: the first is a random mutation that may identify; and the second is the time of mutating; in addition to the gene part of mutating, where the mutation may occur at S1 gene, but not at the exact level of sequence that make infection. Therefore, the possibility of infection will remain as part of probability event. Due to important biological properties and the high variability of the S1 antigenic evolution in IBV which has been mostly related to variations in the sequence of the S1 glycoprotein, thus different antigenic variants, serotypes and subtypes of IBV are thought to be produced by point mutations, deletions, insertions, or RNA recombination of S1 gene (Wang and Huang 2000).

Moreover, the current result Table 4 indicated that the correlation coefficient between heterobases numbers within each cluster and the probability of mutating IBV into a like-COVID-19 sequence is highly significant (p<0.01), that is referred to converting percentage by about 54 %, when only the hetero-bases changed into homo-bases in IBV sequence. Also Figure 2, illustrating that the predicting of mutating is not absolutely impossible, but need more time to change (at least 260 years to be around the half proportion as the probability of infection). Nonetheless, the present findings insure that the probability of mutating the whole S1 gene sequence of IBV into COVID-19-like virus is relatively impossible from the molecular point of view, but from random mutation across long time aspect, it remain a chance to be happening event, which will be a disaster on the human life. Similarly, according to molecular studies, only a few changes in the amino acid composition in the S1 part of the virus spike protein can cause to emerge a new variant or serotype, while most of the other parts of viral genomes remain unchanged (Cavanagh, 2007). This could be due to recombination as a result of mixed infections, immunological pressure and a reduction of dominant serotypes as a result of the widespread use of vaccines (Lee, 2002; Liu et al., 2006). Hence, we can point out that even small amount of mutations in the S1 of chicken IBV to be like sequences of S1 of COVID-19 virus could be enough to cause infection in different organisms including humans. Thus, here we reported the prediction of potential mutation in chicken IBV. Hussen (2020) was forecasted a relatively increased trend of infected cases of COVOD-19 during 2020. Results of our study fill a gap in tracking and predicting the potential mutation of chicken IBV into COVID-19-like viruses.

CONCLUSION

Based on the calculation and statistical analysis of hetero-nucleotides of S1 gene of IBV and COVID-19, the correlation coefficients between mutation rate items and the probability and prediction of potential random mutation rate, it could be concluded that the possibility of potential mutation of the chicken IBV into COVID-19-like virus and the emergence of variants of IBV to be like COVID-19 virus is statistically not absolutely impossible, but it might require a considerable amount of time.

Declaration

We declare that we have no competed financial, interests or personal relationships that might have appeared to affect the work of this paper.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research did not receive any specific funding.

REFERENCES

Baig, A.M., Khaleeq, A., Ali, U., and Syeda, H. (2020). Evidence of the COVID-19 virus targeting the CNS: tissue distribution, hostvirus interaction, and proposed neurotropic mechanisms. ACS Chem. Neurosci. 11: 995-998.

- Bande, F., Arshad, S.S., Omar, A.R., Hair-Bejo, M., Mahmuda, A., and Nair, V. (2017). Global distributions and strain diversity of avian infectious bronchitis virus: a review. Anim. Heal. Res. Rev. 18: 70-83.
- Bourogâa, H., Larbi, I., Miled, K., Hellal, Y.K., Hassen, J., Behi, I., Nsiri, J., and Ghram, A. (2014). Evaluation of protection conferred by a vaccination program based on the H120 and CR88 commercial vaccines against a field variant of avian infectious bronchitis virus. J. Appl. Poult. Res. 23: 156-164.
- Butler, N., Pewe, L., Trandem, K., and Perlman, S. (2006). Murine encephalitis caused by HCoV-OC43, a human coronavirus with broad species specificity, is partly immunemediated. Virology. 347: 410-421.
- Cavanagh, D. (2005). Coronaviruses in poultry and other birds. Av. Pathol. 34: 439-448.
- Cavanagh, D. (2007). Coronavirus avian infectious bronchitis virus. Vet. Res 38: 281-297.
- Cavanagh, D. and Naqi, S. (2003). Infectious bronchitis. Dis. poult. 11:101-119.
- Cavanagh, D., Davis, P.J., Cook, J.K., Li, D., Kant, A., and Koch, G. (1992). Location of the amino acid differences in the S1 spike glycoprotein subunit of closely related serotypes of infectious bronchitis virus. Av. pathol. 21: 33-43.
- Cavanagh, S. (1997). Content analysis: concepts, methods and applications. Nurse researcher 4: 5-16.
- Chakraborty, T., and Ghosh, I. (2020). Real-time forecasts and risk assessment of novel coronavirus (COVID-19) cases: A data-driven analysis. Chaos, Solitons & Fractals 135: 109850.
- Cook, J.K., Jackwood, M. and Jones, R.C. (2012). The long view: 40 years of infectious bronchitis research. Avian Pathol. 41: 239-250.
- De Wit, J.J., Cook, J.K., and Van der Heijden, H.M. (2011). Infectious bronchitis virus variants: a review of the history, current situation and control measures. Avian pathol. 40: 223-235.
- Ennaji, Y., Khataby, K., and Ennaji, M.M. (2020).
 Infectious bronchitis virus in poultry: Molecular epidemiology and factors leading to the emergence and reemergence of novel strains of infectious bronchitis virus. In Emerging and Reemerging Viral Pathogens (pp. 31-44). Academic Press.
- Fan, W., Tang, N., Dong, Z., Chen, J., Zhang, W., Zhao, C., He, Y., Li, M., Wu, C., Wei, T., and Huang, T. (2019). Genetic analysis of avian coronavirus infectious bronchitis virus in yellow chickens in Southern China over the past decade: revealing the changes of genetic

diversity, dominant genotypes, and selection pressure. Viruses. 11: 898.

- Fischer, S., Klosterhalfen, D., Kump, F.W.S., and Casteel, M. (2020). Research Note: First evidence of infectious bronchitis virus Middle-East GI-23 lineage (Var2-like) in Germany. Poult. sci. 99: 797-800.
- Howley, DMKP. (2013). Fields Virology. I. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins: 830P.
- Hussen, S H. (2020). Forecasting of COVID-19 Cases in Kurdistan Region Using Some Statistical Models. Acad. J. Appl. Math. Sci. 6: 172-180.
- Jackwood, M.W., Hall, D., and Handel, A. (2012). Molecular evolution and emergence of avian gammacoronaviruses. Infect. Gen. Evol. 12: 1305-1311.
- Ji, W., Wang, W., Zhao, X., Zai, J., and Li, X. (2020). Cross-species transmission of the newly identified coronavirus 2019-nCoV. J. Med. Virol. 92: 433-440.
- Kearse, M., Moir, R., Wilson, A., Stones-Havas, S., Cheung, M., Sturrock, S., Buxton, S., Cooper, A., Markowitz, S., Duran, C., and Thierer, T. (2012). Geneious Basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. Bioinformatics. 28: 1647-1649.
- King, A.M., Lefkowitz, E., Adams, M.J., and Carstens, E.B. (2011). Virus taxonomy: ninth report of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (Vol. 9). Elsevier.
- Korber, B., Fischer, W., Gnanakaran, S.G., Yoon, H., Theiler, J., Abfalterer, W., Foley, B., Giorgi, E.E., Bhattacharya, T., Parker, M.D., and Partridge, D.G. (2020). Spike mutation pipeline reveals the emergence of a more transmissible form of SARS-CoV-2. BioRxiv.
- Lai, M.M., and Cavanagh, D. (1997). The molecular biology of coronaviruses. Adv. Virus Res. 48: 1-100.
- Lee, C.W. (2002). Evolution of avian infectious bronchitis virus: genetic drift and recombination. Kor. J. Vet. Serv. 25: 97-103.
- Lefkowitz, E.J., Dempsey, D.M., Hendrickson, R.C., Orton, R.J., Siddell, S.G., and Smith, D.B. (2018). Virus taxonomy: the database of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV). Nucl. ac. res. 46: D708-D717.
- Lin, S.Y., and Chen, H.W. (2017). Infectious bronchitis virus variants: molecular analysis and pathogenicity investigation. International journal of molecular sciences. 18: 2030.
- Liu, S.W., Zhang, Q.X., Chen, J.D., Han, Z.X., Liu, X., Feng, L., Shao, Y.H., Rong, J.G., Kong, X.G., and Tong, G.Z., 2006. Genetic diversity of avian infectious bronchitis coronavirus

strains isolated in China between 1995 and 2004. Arch. Virol. 151: 1133-1148.

- MacLachlan N.J., and Dubovi, E.J. (2017). Fenner's veterinary virology, 5th ed. Academic Press, New York, NY.
- Matoba, Y., Abiko, C., Ikeda, T., Aoki, Y., Suzuki, Y., Yahagi, K., Matsuzaki, Y., Itagaki, T., Katsushima, F., Katsushima, Y., and Mizuta, K. (2015). Detection of the human coronavirus 229E, HKU1, NL63, and OC43 between 2010 and 2013 in Yamagata, Japan. Jap. J. Infect. Dis. 68: 138-141.
- Myint, S.H. (1995). Human coronavirus infections. In The Coronaviridae (pp. 389-401). Springer, Boston, MA.
- SPSS, Inc. (2019). Statistical Package for Social Sciences for Windows Graduate Pack Advanced Version. Version 26.0, SPSS Inc., IBM publications.
- St-Jean, J.R., Jacomy, H., Desforges, M., Vabret, A., Freymuth, F., and Talbot, P.J. (2004). Human respiratory coronavirus OC43: genetic stability and neuroinvasion. J. Virol. 78: 8824-8834.
- Umar, S., Shah, M.A.A., Munir, M.T., Ahsan, U. and Kaboudi, K. (2016). Infectious bronchitis virus: evolution and vaccination. Wor Poult. Sci. J. 72: 49-60.
- Valastro, V., Holmes, E.C., Britton, P., Fusaro, A., Jackwood, M.W., Cattoli, G., and Monne, I. (2016). S1 gene-based phylogeny of infectious

bronchitis virus: an attempt to harmonize virus classification. Infect. Gen. Evol. 39: 349-364.

- Wang, C.H., and Huang, Y.C. (2000). Relationship between serotypes and genotypes based on the hypervariable region of the S1 gene of infectious bronchitis virus. Arch. Virol. 145: 291-300.
- World Health Organization (2003). Consensus document on the epidemiology of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) (No. WHO/CDS/CSR/GAR/2003.11). World Health Organization.
- World Health Organization (2020). Coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) disease strategic preparedness and response plan: Accelerating in the Eastern Mediterranean readiness Region: February 2020 (No. WHO-EM/CSR/260/E). World Health Organization. Regional Office for the Eastern Mediterranean.
- Wu, A., Niu, P., Wang, L., Zhou, H., Zhao, X., Wang, W., Wang, J., Ji, C., Ding, X., Wang, X., and Lu, R. (2020). Mutations, recombination and insertion in the evolution of 2019-nCoV. BioRxiv.
- Yuan, Y., Cao, D., Zhang, Y., Ma, J., Qi, J., Wang, Q., Lu, G., Wu, Y., Yan, J., Shi, Y., and Zhang, X. (2017). Cryo-EM structures of MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV spike glycoproteins reveal the dynamic receptor binding domains. Nat. commun. 8: 1-9.