EFFECT OF TOPPING, HUMIC ACID, MULCHING COLOR AND THEIR INTERACTIONS ON VEGETATIVE GROWTH AND SEED YIELD OF OKRA (Abelmoschus esculentus L.)

GHURBAT HASSAN MOHAMMED and ABDULJEBBAR IHSAN SAEID Dept. Of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, University of Duhok, Kurdistan Region –Iraq.

(Received: July 25, 2017; Accepted for publication: November 17, 2017)

ABSTRACT

This study was carried out during 2016 growing season at the vegetative research farm of the College of Agriculture, Duhok University, Kurdistan region on okra plants, to study the effect of topping (after 4 leaves and 7 leaves), three levels of humic acid (0, 20 and 40 m.l-¹) and four mulching color (without mulch, black mulch, clear mulch and blue mulch) on okra (Clemson). The results showed that topping after 7 leaves significantly increased branch numbers, leaf area and dry pod . Humic acid at 40 m.l⁻¹ significantly increased leaf area, dry pod and seed weight, while humic acid at concentration 20 m.l⁻¹ increased number of seeds. Mulching significantly increased brunch number, leaf area and seed number. The dual interaction between a topping after 7 leaves and clear mulch significantly enhanced brunch numbers, leaf area and dry pod. And the interactions between topping, humic acid and mulching significantly increased most characteristics.

KEY WORDS: Okra, Humic acid, mulching, Clemson

O_{kr}

INTRODUCTION

kra (Abelmoschus esculentus L.) is a popular vegetable crop grown for domestic consumption in the tropical and sub- tropical countries of the word. It is one of the most widely known and utilized of the family malvaceae (Naveed et al. 2009). The seed is the prime factor that determines the qualitative and quantitative characteristics of the crop that is going to be harvested later on. The seeds nutritional composition of okra include protein, oil, carbohydrate and calcium, magnesium, iron and phosphorus (Omotosho and Shittu, 2008). Topping is a very common practice to breaking the apical dominance in some of the vegetable crops like okra for enhanced lateral buds thereby increase the potential poding area (Gujar and Srivastava, 1972). (Sajjan et al., 2002) showed that in apical bud pinching resulted significantly higher processed seed yield (1078 kg. ha⁻¹), while seed yield was lowest in the control (586.7 kg. ha⁻¹).

Humic acid is one of the most important components of bio-liquid complex that influence plant growth by modifying the physiology of plants and by improving chemical, biological and physical properties of soil (Elayaraja et al., 2010). Humic acid essentially helps the movement of micronutrients from soil to plant, (Stumpe et al., 2000) stated that the positive effect of humic acid on the yield capacity of soil consist of many components. Other researcher found out the foliar spray of humic acid encourages nutrient uptake, plant growth, yield and quality (El-Nemr et al., 2012). Also (Saruhan et al., 2011) have reported that foliar application of humic acid caused the highest 1000 seed weight. Mulching practices have been used in vegetable production for better growth and yield of most horticultural crops. Moreover, the importance of mulch on its effectiveness in the soil runoff, control of weed growth, enhances conservation of soil moisture compaction by rain drops and temperature regulation(Aniekwe, 2002). Mulches also promote crop development, early yield and increased yields as observed by (Adekalu et al., 2008). The aim of this study is to determine the effect of topping, humic acid, different mulching color and their interactions on growth and seed yield of okra plant.

MATRIALS AND METHODS

This experiment was carried out during 2016 growing season, at the vegetable research farm, College of Agriculture, Duhok University, on okra (Clemson), Seed of okra were sown on 20 April 2016 in the farm, and planted on 40 cm between plants and 65 cm between rows.

A completely randomized block design (RCBD) was used in this experiment. Each experimental unit consisted of eight plants with three replication. The factors undertaken in this study were two time (T1,T2) of topping (topping after 4 leaves and 7 leaves), three concentrations of Humic acid (0, 20 and 40 m.1⁻¹) and four mulching color (without mulching, black mulch, clear mulch and blue mulch). All plants in this regular agricultural studv received and horticultural practices that were usually carried out in the vegetable crops farm. Mulching was done before planting, Humic acid spraying were applied three times within fifteen days intervals, starting after 4 true leaves stage. Data were analyzed by using S A S program (SAS, 2001).

For data collection five plants were randomly selected from each experimental unit. For vegetative characteristics data collected were on branches number plant⁻¹ and leaf area (cm²) of okra plants and for seed properties such as number of dry pod plant⁻¹, seed weight (g. pods⁻¹) and seed number pod⁻¹.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Table (1) revealed that topping (2) was significantly dominated over topping (1) in number of branches (7.06 plant⁻¹). The effect of humic acid had no significant effects on this trait. Plants grown under clear mulch had a significant increase in the number of branches (7.25 plant⁻¹) as compared with without mulching.

Concerning the effect of interactions between each two factors, Topping (2) and 20 m.l⁻¹ humic acid were superior over all treatments. In case of the interactions between topping and mulching, the highest number of branches was obtained between topping (2) and clear mulch. The interactions between humic acid and mulching, the data clearly showed that spraying of humic acid at 20 m.l⁻¹ with clear mulch resulted in a higher numbers of branches.

Referring to the triple interactions, the superior interactions was noticed between topping (2) with 20 m.l^{-1} humic acid and clear mulch.

Table (1): Effect of topping, humic acid, mulching color and their interactions on number of branches. plant⁻¹ of

| | | | okra p | lant. | | | |
|--------|------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|--------|
| Toping | Humic acid m.l- ¹ | | Mul | ching | | T*H | Toping |
| | | Without | Black | clear | Blue | — | |
| | | | | | | | |
| T1 | 0.0 | 4.84 d | 6.22 a-d | 6.89 a-c | 7.34 a-c | 6.33 b | 6.59 b |
| | 20.0 | 6.47 a-d | 6.51 a-c | 7.50 a-c | 6.09 b-d | 6.64 ab | |
| | 40.0 | 6.63 a-c | 7.72 ab | 6.46 a-d | 6.43 a-d | 6.81 ab | |
| T2 | 0.0 | 5.97 cd | 6.40 a-c | 7.81 ab | 7.17 a-c | 6.84 ab | 7.06 a |
| | 20.0 | 6.40 a-d | 7.30 a-c | 7.85 a | 7.55 a-c | 7.28 a | |
| | 40.0 | 7.83 a | 6.63 a-c | 7.00 a-c | 6.77 a-c | 7.06 ab | |
| | Mulching | 6.36 b | 6.80 ab | 7.25 a | 6.89 ab | Humic | |
| T*M | T1 | 5.98 b | 6.82 ab | 6.95 a | 6.62 ab | | |
| | T2 | 6.73 ab | 6.78 ab | 7.55 a | 7.16 a | | |
| H*M | 0.0 | 5.41 c | 6.31 bc | 7.35 ab | 7.25 ab | 6.58 a | |
| | 20.0 | 6.43 bc | 6.91 ab | 7.68 a | 6.82 ab | 6.96 a | |
| | 40.0 | 7.23 ab | 7.18 ab | 6.73 ab | 6.60 ab | 6.93 a | |

Mean with a column, row and their interaction following with the same latter are not significantly different according to Duncan multiple at 0.05 level.

Table (2) indicates that topping (2) was significantly dominated over the topping (1) in branchs number plant⁻¹. For the effect of Humic acid, the results show that there was an increase in leaf area by increasing the concentration of Humic acid. Mulching color significantly increased the leaf area particularly clear mulch as compared to without mulch.

In case of interactions, the best interactions were observed between topping (2) and humic acid at 20 m.l⁻¹. As for the interaction between topping (2) with clear mulch gave the highest leaf area (299.81 cm²). Otherwise, the maximum interaction between humic acid and mulching was noised from spraying of humic acid 0 m.l⁻¹ and clear mulch 304.21 cm^2 .

The interaction of the three factors had significantly affected the leaf area. The superior treatment noticed between topping (2) with 20 m.l⁻¹ and blue mulch measured in 304.98 cm²

The tables above concluded results are close in conformity with the finding of (Vasudevan et al., 2008, Sudarshan, 2004; Jhon and Paul, 1995) in different vegetables and ornamental plants. Vasudevan et al., 2008) reported that the maximum number of branches were noted in plants topping at 30 days after sowing. It is also shown that topping plant enhanced in branch production increased young leaf production in okra (Olasantan and Salau, 2008). The increase in leaf area in table 2 may be due to the direct effect of humic acid depending on the biochemical action on cell wall, mainly hormonal, membrane or cytoplasm, acting in manner similar to plant substances (Chen *et* al., growth 2004) Accordingly, (Kaya et al., 2005) agricultural humic substances are reputed to drought tolerance , enhance nutrient uptake and overall plant performance resulting in increasing leaf area.

Table (2): Effect of topping, Humic acid, mulching color and their interactions on leaf area (cm²) of okra plant.

| Topping | Humic acid m.I- ¹ | | Μ | ulching | | T*B | Topping |
|---------|------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|----------|
| | | Without | Black | Clear | Blue | _ | |
| T1 | 0.0 | 232.34 e | 267.02 b-d | 304.15 ab | 292.33 a-c | 273.96 b | 279.51 b |
| | 20.0 | 264.25 с-е | 270.39 a-d | 292.76 a-c | 279.52 a-d | 276.73 b | _ |
| | 40.0 | 284.95 a-c | 280.35 a-d | 301.79 ab | 284.32 a-c | 287.85 ab | _ |
| T2 | 0.0 | 246.74 de | 287.15 a-c | 304.26 ab | 295.64 a-c | 283.45 ab | 291.78 a |
| | 20.0 | 288.78 a-c | 293.24 a-c | 301.04 a-c | 304.98 a | 297.01 a | _ |
| | 40.0 | 289.14 a-c | 299.23 a-c | 294.13 a-c | 297.01 a-c | 294.88 a | _ |
| | Mulching | 267.70 c | 282.90 b | 299.69 a | 292.30 ab | Humic | |
| T*M | T1 | 260.51 d | 272.59 cd | 299.57 a | 285.39 a-c | _ | |
| | T2 | 274.89 b-d | 293.21 ab | 299.81 a | 299.21 a | _ | |
| H*M | 0.0 | 239.54 c | 277.09 b | 304.21 a | 293.99 ab | 278.71 b | |
| | 20.0 | 276.52 b | 281.82 ab | 296.90 ab | 292.25 ab | 286.87 ab | |
| | 40.0 | 287.05 ab | 289.79 ab | 297.96 ab | 290.66 ab | 291.37 a | |

Mean with a column, row and their interaction following with the same latter are not significantly different according to Duncan multiple at 0.05 level.

The improvement on vegetative growth in table (1 &2) because to that plastic mulches improve moisture conservation and availability, which ultimately leads to improvement in plant growth. Have reported that improvement in growth characters as a result of using mulch might be due to the enhancement in photosynthesis and other metabolic activities (parmar et al., 2013). Higher soil temperature and soil moisture content under plastic mulch improve the pant microclimate leading to early growth and development. The availability of moisture and extended retention of moisture also lead to higher uptake of nutrients for plants proper growth and development, resulted in higher growth of plant as compared to soil without mulches (Atif, 2014).

Data presented in table (3) shows that topping (2) caused significant increase in number of dry pod 25.54 plant⁻¹ as compared with topping (1) 24.21 plant⁻¹. The results showed that spraying humic acid caused positive significant differences

in number of dry pod. For the mulching factor effect, it also clear and blue mulching that significantly enhanced number of dry fruit 27.09 and 25.23 plant⁻¹ respectively.

In case of interaction between two factors (topping and humic acid), the highest value was recorded in plant topping (2) and spraying humic acid at 40 m.1⁻¹. The interactions between topping and mulching recorded the maximum number of dry pod 27.93 plant⁻¹ in topping (2) planted under clear mulch. The same results were obtained from interactions between humic acid and mulching and the superiority was for spraying 20 m.1⁻¹ humic acid with clear mulch.

Data in table (3) also showed that the interactions between the three factors increased number of dry pod/plant and topping (2) spray with 20 m.1⁻¹ of humic acid and planted under clear mulch had the highest value 30.30 plant⁻¹ as compared with other treatments.

| Table (3): Effect of Topping, Humic acid, Mulching color and their interactions on number of dry pod plant ⁻¹ of |
|---|
| olzro plant |

| | | | okia pia | un. | | | |
|---------|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|---------|
| Topping | Humic acid m.l- ¹ | | M | ulching | | T*H | Topping |
| | | Without | Black | Clear | Blue | _ | |
| T1 | 0.0 | 18.50 g | 21.30 e-g | 27.00 a-d | 23.67 c-f | 22.62 c | 24.21 b |
| | 20.0 | 23.74 c-f | 24.39 b-e | 25.56 b-e | 23.25 c-f | 24.24 bc | |
| | 40.0 | 25.53 b-e | 24.92 b-e | 26.22 a-d | 26.50 a-d | 25.79 ab | |
| T2 | 0.0 | 19.67 fg | 24.28 b-e | 25.66 b-e | 27.00 a-d | 24.15 bc | 25.54 a |
| | 20.0 | 24.00 b-f | 22.89 d-f | 30.30 a | 26.10 a-d | 25.82 ab | |
| | 40.0 | 28.66 ab | 25.23 b-e | 27.83 a-c | 24.83 b-e | 26.64 a | |
| М | ulching | 23.35 c | 23.84 bc | 27.09 a | 25.23 b | Humic | |
| T*M | T1 | 22.59 d | 23.54 cd | 26.26 ab | 24.47 b-d | | |
| | T2 | 24.11 b-d | 24.14 b-d | 27.93 a | 25.98 a-c | | |
| H*M | 0.0 | 19.08 f | 22.79 e | 26.33 a-d | 25.33 а-е | 23.38 b | |
| | 20.0 | 23.87 с-е | 23.64 de | 27.93 a | 24.68 b-e | 25.03 a | |
| | 40.0 | 27.10 ab | 25.08 a-e | 27.03 a-c | 25.67 а-е | 26.22 a | |

Mean with a column, row and their interaction following with the same latter are not significantly different according to Duncan multiple at 0.05 level.

It can be noticed from table (4) that there are no significant effects of topping on seed weight g^{-1} . whereas; humic acid at concentration 40 m.l⁻¹ caused significant increases in comparison with the untreated plants. For the effect of mulching,

DOI: https://doi.org/10.26682/avuod.2017.20.1.7

the results show that using blue mulch there was an increase in the seed weight g^{-1} .

Concerning the dual interactions, the interactions between topping (1) and 40 m.l⁻¹ humic acid resulted in higher seed weight 3.97 g^{-1} . on the other hand, the interactions between topping (2) and blue mulch gave the highest seed weight 3.83 g^{-1} .whereas, the best interactions

between humic acid and mulching was recorded 4.33 $g^{\text{-1}}$ from spraying humic acid at 40 m.l^-1with blue mulch.

As for the interactions between three factors, the maximum interactions occurred between topping (1) with 40 m.l⁻¹ humic acid and blue mulch 4.42 g⁻¹.

Table (4): Effect of Topping, Humic acid, Mulching color and their interactions on seed weight g pot⁻¹ of okra

| | | | piant. | | | | |
|---------|------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| Topping | Humic acid m.I ⁻¹ | | Mul | ching | | T*H | Topping |
| | | Without | Black | Clear | Blue | _ | |
| T1 | 0.0 | 3.04 с-е | 2.95 с-е | 3.35 а-е | 2.97 с-е | 3.08 d | 3.58 a |
| | 20.0 | 3.57 a-d | 3.88 a-d | 3.82 a-d | 3.57 a-c | 3.71 a-c | _ |
| | 40.0 | 4.07 a-c | 3.30 a-e | 4.08 a-c | 4.42 a | 3.97 a | _ |
| T2 | 0.0 | 2.33 e | 3.60 a-d | 3.03 с-е | 3.94 a-c | 3.23 cd | 3.41 a |
| | 20.0 | 3.32 а-е | 2.70 de | 3.68 a-c | 3.30 а-е | 3.25 b-d | _ |
| | 40.0 | 3.57 a-d | 3.13 b-e | 4.08 a-c | 4.25 ab | 3.76 ab | _ |
| N | lulching | 3.32 b | 3.26 b | 3.68 ab | 3.74 a | Humic | |
| T*M | T1 | 3.56 a-c | 3.38 a-c | 3.75 ab | 3.65 a-c | | |
| | T2 | 3.07 c | 3.14 bc | 3.60 a-c | 3.83 a | | |
| H*M | 0.0 | 2.69 d | 3.28 cd | 3.19 cd | 3.45 b-d | 3.15 b | |
| | 20.0 | 3.44 b-d | 3.29 b-d | 3.75 a-c | 3.43 b-d | 3.48 b | |
| | 40.0 | 3.82 a-c | 3.22 cd | 4.08 ab | 4.33 a | 3.86 a | |

Mean with a column, row and their interaction following with the same latter are not significantly different according to Duncan multiple at 0.05 level.

It is clear from table (5) that there was no significant effect between two toppings. Spraying of humic acid at concentration 20 and 40 m.l⁻¹ caused a significant increase in the seed number pod^{-1} 83.26 and 82.08 respectively as compared with those of the untreated plants 75.97. The plants grown under all mulching color significantly increased seed number and the color blue gave high seed number 83.98.

The effect of interactions between each two factors, the best interactions resulted from

topping (2) with 20 m.l⁻¹ humic acid 83.68. In case of the interactions between topping and mulching, the maximum interactions was obtained between topping (2) with blue mulch 86.74. However, the best interactions between humic acid and mulching was obtained from spraying of 20 m.l⁻¹ humic acid with blue mulch 90.61 seed number.

The superior triple interactions was found between topping (2) with 20 m.l⁻¹ humic acid and clear mulch which recorded 95.33 seed number.

DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.26682/avuod.2017.20.1.7</u>

| Topping | Humic acid m.I ⁻¹ | | Mu | Ilching | | T*H | Topping |
|---------|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|
| | | Without | Black | Clear | Blue | _ | |
| T1 | 0.0 | 64.00 h | 87.33 a-d | 76.27 b-h | 70.67 e-h | 74.57 b | 79.76 a |
| | 20.0 | 88.33 a-c | 69.83 f-h | 84.34 a-f | 88.83 a-c | 82.83 ab | |
| | 40.0 | 77.00 b-h | 87.00 a-d | 79.33 a-h | 84.17 a-f | 81.88 ab | |
| T2 | 0.0 | 65.33 gh | 88.33 a-c | 74.47 c-h | 81.33 a-g | 77.37 ab | 81. 11 a |
| | 20.0 | 71.50 d-h | 75.50 c-h | 95.33 a | 92.38 ab | 83.68 a | |
| | 40.0 | 77.67 b-h | 81.83 a-f | 83.17 a-f | 86.50 a-d | 82.29 ab | |
| N | lulching | 73.97 b | 81.64 a | 82.15 a | 83.98 a | Humic | |
| T*M | T1 | 76.44 ab | 81.39 ab | 79.98 ab | 81.22 ab | | |
| | T2 | 71.50 b | 81.89 ab | 84.32 a | 86.74 a | | |
| H*M | 0.0 | 64.67 e | 87.83 a-c | 75.37 с-е | 76.00 с-е | 75.97 b | |
| | 20.0 | 79.92 a-d | 72.67 de | 89.84 ab | 90.61 a | 83.26 a | |
| | 40.0 | 77.33 b-d | 84.42 a-d | 81.25 a-d | 85.33 a-d | 82.08 a | |

|--|

Mean with a column, row and their interaction following with the same latter are not significantly different according to Duncan multiple at 0.05 level.

The results in table (3, 4 &5) may interpreted that apical bud topping increase the metabolites supplied by leaves as a result of strong carbohydrate sinks furnished by developing pods (Kittock and Fry, 1977), or/and, topping enhanced the lateral branches of plants to carry more pods (Omer et al., 1997). Revealed that apical bud topping significantly improved the seed weight per pod and seed yield per pod compared to control in okra (Venkata Reddy et al., 1997). (Singh et al., 2002) stated that topping of okra plant producer maximum number of seeds per pod compared to that of pods taken from without topping plants. For the effect of humic acid in increasing number of dry fruit, seed weight and seed yield per pod in table (3, 4 & 5) these results are in agreement with those obtained by (Karakurt et al., 2009) who obtained that humic acid enhanced nutrient uptake, vegetative growth, yield and quality in a number of plant species.(Kirn et al., 2010) who indicated in their results that humic

acid significantly increased yield when applied with full recommended fertilizer in okra.

Mulching leads to the increase in the number of dry fruit, seed weight per pod and seed number per pods which might be due to increased photosynthetically active radiation, increased biochemical activities in the soil, less nutrient loss through leaching, reduction of evaporation leading to higher soil moisture content, reduction in weed growth, optimum root zone temperature and better nutrient availability to the plant for overall increase in crop yield. Similar result were also observed by (Jimenez *et al.*,2011 and Kapoor, 2012)

CONCLUSION

From these results, it can be concluded that topping, humic acid and mulching color leads to the enhancement of vegetative growth and seed yield. Furthermore the dual interactions among the tested factors was a positive effect in improving these traits.

REFERENCES

- Adekalu, K.O.; L.A.O. Ogunjimi; F.O. Olaosebikan and S.O. Afolayan, (2008). Response of okra to irrigationand mulching. *Int. J. Veg. Sci.*, 14: 339–350
- Aniekwe, N.L. (2002). Effect of grass as black plastic mulches on the growth and yield of okra (Abelmoschus esculentus (L.) Moench in Abakiliki, SouthEast, Nigeria. Proceeding of the 20th Annual Conference of the Horticultural Society of Nigeria (HORTSON) held at the National Horticultural Institute for Research and Training, Ibadan, Nigeria, May 14-17th, 2002 pg. 46-47.
- Atif Y. Mahadeen (2014). Effect of Polyethylene black plastic mulch on growth and yield of tow summer vegetable crops under rain-fed conditions under semi-fed region conditions. American Journal of Agricultural and Biological Sciences 9 (2): 202-207
- Chen, Y.; C.E. Clapp and H. Magen, (2004). Mechanisms of plant growth stimulation by humic substances: The role of organo-iron complexes. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr., 50: 1089-1095.
- Elayaraja, D.; Vetrisel K. and Dhanasekaran (2010).
 Effect of NPK levels and different Humic acid formulation on the growth, yield and nutrients uptake by bhendi. international research journal of chemistry(IRJC) page 19-28
- El-Nemr, M.A.; El- Desuki M.; El- Bassiony A.M and Fawzi Z.F. (2012). Response of growth and yield of cucumber plants (Cucumis sativus L.) to different foliar application of humic acid and bio- stimulators. Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 6 (3): 630- 637.
- Gujar, K.D. & Srivastava, V.K.(1972). Effect of maleic hydrazide and apical nipping on okra. Indian. J. Hort 29(1), 86-88.

- Jhon, A. Q and T.M. Paul. (1995). Influence of spacing and pinching treatments on growth and flower production in chrysanthemum (Chrysamthemummorifoliumcv. Flirt). Progressive Hort., 27(1-2): 57 61.
- Jimenez L. I.; Lira-Saldivar R. H.; Valdez-Aguilar L. A. and Del Rio J. L. (2011). Colord plastic mulches affect soil temperature and tuber production of potato. Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section B- Soil and plant Science 61(4):365-371.
- Kapoor P. (2012). Effect of Polythene studies in sweed pepper (Capsicum annum L.). M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore Karnataka (India).
- Karakurt, Y.; H. Unlu and H. Padem (2009). The influence of foliar and soil fertilization of humic acid on yield and quality of pepper. Acta Agriculture Scandinavica Section B Plant Soil Science 59 (3): 233- 237. 5.
- Kaya M, Atak M, Ciftci CY, Unver S (2005). Effects of zinc and humic acid applications on yield and some yield components of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Süleyman Demirel University J. Graduate School Natural Appl. Sci., 9(3), (in Turkish with English abstracts).
- Kirn A, Kashif SR, Yaseen M (2010). Using indigenous Humic from lignite to increase growth and yield of okra (*Abelmoschus* esculentus L.). Soil Environ., 29(2): 187-191.
- Kittock, D.L. & Fry, K.E. (1977). Effect of topping pima cotton on pod yield and boll retention. Agron.J. 69(1), 65.
- Naveed, A., Khan, A.A., & Khan, I.A. (2009).
 Generation mean analysis of water stress tolerance in okra (Abelmoschus esculentus L.).
 Pak. J. Bot., 41: 195-205.
- Olasantan F.O. and Salau A.W. (2008). Effect of pruning on growth, leaf yield and pod yield of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench.). *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 146: 93-102.
- Omer, E.A., Khattab, M.E. & Ibrahim, M.E. (1997).
 Effect of pinching and foliar application of some growth regulators on two new early mature varieties of Hibiscus sabdariffa L., Egypt J. Hort. 24, pp. 117-130.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.26682/avuod.2017.20.1.7

- Omotosho, S. O. and Shittu, O.S. (2007). Effect of NPK fertilizer rates and method of application on growth and yield of okra (Abelmoschus esculentus (L.) Moench). Research Journal of Agronomy 1(2):84-87.
- Parmar, H.N., N.D. Polara and R.R. Viradiya, (2013). Effect of mulching material on growth, yield and quality of watermelon (*Citrullus lanatus* thunb) Cv. Kiran. Univ. J. Agric. Res., 1: 30-37. DOI:10.13189/ujar.2013.010203
- Sajjan, A.S.; Shekaragouda, M. and Badanu V.P. (2002). Influence of apical pinching and pod picking on growth and seed yield of okra. Karnataka J. of Agric. Sci. 15 (2), 367-372 (India).
- Saruhan V, Kusvuran A, Babat S, (2011). The effect of different humic acid fertilization on yield and yield components performances of common millet (Panicum miliaceum L). Sci Res & Essays 6(3): 663-669
- SAS, SAS/STAT (2001). "User's Guide for Personal Computer".Release 6.12. SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC., U S A.
- Singh J.; Singh N.; Malik Y. S.; Nehra B. K.; Mehla
 C. P.; Singh J. and Singh N. (2002). Effects of

spacing and pruning on seed crops of okra. *Haryana Journal of Horticultural Science* 31(1-2): 113-115.

- Stumpe, H.; Graz J.; Schliephake W.; Wittenmayer L. and Merbach W. (2000). Effect of humus content farmyard manuring and mineral N fertilization on yield and soil properties in a long term trial. J. Plant Nut. Soil Sci. 163 (6): 657-662.
- Sudarshan, J.S. 2004. Influence of apical bud pinching, chemical spray and physiological maturity on seed yield and quality of fenugreek.
 M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, Uni. Agric. Sci., Dharwad.
- Vasudevan, S.N.; J.S. Sudarshan; M.B. Kurdikeri and P.R. Dharmatti. (2008). Influence of pinching of apical bud and chemical sprays on seed yield and quality of fenugreek. Karnataka. J. Agric. Sci., 21 (1): 26-29.
- Venkata reddy, D. M.; Bhat, P. Chandra Shekara and Chandrashekara, R. (1997). Effect of apical pinching and fruit thinning on yield and seed quality in Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*). Seeds Research, 25(1) : 41 – 44.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.26682/avuod.2017.20.1.7

پوخته

نەف قەكولىنە ھاتە بجھئىنان ل سالا 2016 ل زەڤىيّن چاندنىّ / كولىژا چاندنىّ/زانكويا دھوك/دەڤەرا كوردستانىّ ل سەر بامىيّ ژبو تاقىكرنا كارنتىكرنا برىنا سەرىّ رووەكى (بشتى 4 بەلگا و7 بەلگا) وسىّ تىراتىيّن جودا ژ ترشىّ ھىومىكى (0، 20، 40 مل/لتر) وچار جورىّن رەنگا ورەنگ يىّن نايلونى (نەھاتىه نخافتن، روھن، رەش، شىن) ل سەر بامىيّ جورىّ (كلامسون) ئەنجاما دياركر كو برىنا سەرىّ روەكى بشتى 7 بەلگا زىدەكرنەكا جياواز ھەبو لسەر ژمارا چەقا وروبەرىّ بەلگا وبامىيّت ھشك. ترشىّ ھىومىكى 40 مل/لتر زىدەكرنەكا جياواز ھەبو لسەر ژولار چەقا وروبەرىّ بەلگا وبامىيّت ھەك. ترشى ھىومىكى 20 مل/لتر زىدەكرنەكا جياواز ھەبو لسەر روبەرىّ بەلگا وبامىيّت ھەك وكىّشەيا توفى، ترشى ھىومىكى 20 مل/لتر زىدەكرنەكا جياواز ھەبو لسەر روبەرىّ بەلگا وبامىيّت ھەك وكىّشەيا توفى، ترشى ھىومىكى 20 مل/لتر ژمارا توڤى زىدەكر. نخافتنىٰ جياوازيا پىش جاڤ ھەبو ل سەر ھە مى سالوخەتان لىيكدان دناڤبەرا برىنا سەرى روەكى بىشتى 7 بەلگا و20مل/لتر ترشى ھىومىكى زىدەكرنەكا جياواز ھەبو ل سەر ژمارا چەقا وروبەرىّ بەلگا وژمارا توڤى. لىكدانىّن دوو قولى دناڤبەرا برىنا سەرى رووەكى بىشتى 7 بەلگا ونايلونى روھن جياوازيا بىش جاڤ ھەبو ل سەر ھەھوكى دۆدەكرەكا جياواز ھەبو ل سەر ژمارا چەقا وروبەرىّ بەلگا وژمارا توڤى. لىكدانىّن دوو قولى دناڤبەرا برىنا سەرى رووەكى بەشتى 7 بەلگا ونايلونى روھن جياوازيا بىش جاڤ ھەبو ل سەر ژمارا چەقا وروبەرى بەلگا وبامىيّت بىشتى 7 بەلگا ونايلونى روھن جياوازيا بىش جاڤ ھەبو ل سەر ژمارا چەقا وروبەرى بەلگا وبامىيّت بىشتى 1 بەنگەرا برىنا سەرى رەدى وەدى وىخافتتى زىدەكرىەكا جياواز ھەبو ل سەر زوربەت سالوخەتان.

تأثير قرط القمة النامية وحامض الهيومبيك والتغطية بالبلاستيك الملون والتداخل بينهما على نمو وحاصل البذور لنبات الباميا (*Abelmoschus esculentu*s L.)

الخلاصة

اجريت هذه الدراسة خلال موسم النمو 2016 في حقل الخضر اوات التابع لكلية الزراعة /جامعة دهوك /منطقة كوردستان على نبات الباميا لدراسة تاثير قرط القمة النامية (بعد 4 اوراق ،7 اوراق) وثلاث مستويات من حامض الهيوميك (0، 20، 40 مل/لتر) واربع الوان من التغطية بالبلاستيك (بدون تغطية، شفاف، اسود، ازرق) على نبات الباميا (كلامسون). اظهرت النتائج بان قرط القمة النامية بعد 7 اوراق ادى الى زيادة معنوية في عدد الفروع والمساحة الورقية والثمار الجافة. حامض الهيوميك 40 مل/لتر ادى الى زيادة معنوية في عدد الفروع والمساحة الورقية والثمار الجافة. حامض الهيوميك 40 مل/لتر ادى الى زيادة معنوية في المساحة الورقية والثمار الجافة ووزن البذور، حامض الهيوميك 20 مل/لتر ادى الى زيادة عدد البذور . التغطية شجع معنويا جميع الصفات المدروسة. التداخل بين قرط القمة النامية بعد 7 اوراق و 20 مل/لتر من حامض الهيوميك ادى الى زيادة معنوية في عدد الفروع والمساحة الورقية وعدد البذور. التداخل الثنائي بين قرط القمة النامية بعد 7 اوراق و البلاستيك الشفاف شجع معنويا عدد الفروع والمساحة الورقية والتماد النامية بعد 7 اوراق و البلاستيك الشفاف محميع العرقية وعدد البذور. التداخل الثنائي بين قرط القمة النامية بعد 7 اوراق و البلاستيك الشفاف محم معنويا عدد وعدد البذور و 10 مله النامية والتماد الجافة. والتداخل بين حامض الهيوميك معنويا عدد وعدد البذور و 10 مليناني بين قرط القمة النامية بعد 7 اوراق و البلاستيك الشفاف شجع معنويا عدد وعدد البذور و و 20 مل/لتر من حامض الهيوميك ادى الى زيادة معنوية في عدد الفروع والمساحة الورقية وعدد البذور و 10 ملورقية والثمار الجافة. والتداخل بين حامض الهيوميك والتغطية ادى الى زيادة معنوية في معضم الصفات. التداخل بين قرط القمة النامية وحامض الهيوميك والتغطية ادى الى زيادة معنوية موجبة في جميع صفات النمو الخضري والبذري.

کارتیَکرنا برینا سةریَ رووةکی وترشیَ هیومیکی ونخافتنا نایلونیَن رةنطا ورةنط و تیَکةلکرنا وان ل سالوخةتیَن کةسکاتییَ وبةرهةمیَ توظیَ بامییَ (.Abelmoschus esculentus L)