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#### Abstract

The conjugate gradient(CG) method is one of the most popular and well-known iterative strategies for solving minimization problems, it has extensive applications in many domains such as machine learning, neural networks, and many other fields, partly because to its simplicity in algebraic formulation and implementation in codes of computer and partially due to their efficiency in solving large scale unconstrained optimization problems. Fletcher/Reeves ( $C$, 1964) expanded the concept to nonlinear problems. In 1964, and this is widely regarded as the first algorithm of nonlinear conjugate gradient. Since then, other conjugate gradient method versions have been proposed. In this paper and in section one, we derive a new conjugate gradient for solving nonlinear minimization problems based on parameter of Perry. In section two we will satisfy some conditions like descent and sufficient descent conditions. In section three, we will study the global convergence of new suggestion. We present numerical findings in the fourth part to demonstrate the efficacy of the suggestion technique. Finally, we provide a conclusion.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the following nonlinear minimization problem bellow:
$\operatorname{Min} f(x) ; x \in R^{n}$
Where; $\quad f: R^{n * 1} \rightarrow R^{1 * 1}$ is a continuously differentiable real-valued function
The methods of the form
$x_{k+1}=x_{k}+\alpha_{k} d_{k}$
Used for solving nonlinear conjugate gradient methods (1.1)
This iterative method is starting with an initial $x_{1} \in R^{n}$,
where, $v_{k}$ is the difference between points $x_{k+1}-x_{k}=\alpha_{k} d_{k}$; the stepsize $\alpha_{k}$ is calculated by one dimensional line search and $d_{k}$ is search direction. There are two search directions, the first search direction is the direction of the steepest descent method, which is
$d_{1}=-g_{1}$
The search direction for the next iteration is set to:
$d_{k+1}=-g_{k+1}+\beta_{k} d_{k}$
Where; $g\left(x_{k}\right)=\nabla f\left(x_{k}\right)$ and $\beta_{k}$ is scalar. There are a lots of basic formulas of $\beta_{k}$ are suggested , like Hestenes,Stiefel (HS)(Steifel, 1952), PolakRibiere,Polyak (PRP)(E. Polak,
1969), Fletcher ,Reeves(FR)(Reeves, 1964), Dai , Yuan (DY) (Dai, Y.H., and Yuan, 1999), Dai, Liao (Y.H. Dai, 2001) ,Perry(Perry, 1978), Liu , Storey (Liu Y., and Storey, 1991), and (CD)(R., 1987)which are shown below:
$\beta_{k}^{H S}=\frac{g_{k+1}^{T}\left(g_{k+1}-g_{k}\right)}{d_{k}^{T}\left(g_{k+1}-g_{k}\right)}$
$\beta_{k}^{P R P}=\frac{g_{k+1}^{T}\left(g_{k+1}-g_{k}\right)}{\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{2}}$
$\beta_{k}^{F R}=\frac{\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}}{\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{2}}$
$\beta_{k}^{D Y}=\frac{\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}}{d_{k}^{T} y_{k}}$
$\beta_{k}^{D L}=\frac{g_{k+1}^{T}\left(y_{k}-t v_{k}\right)}{d_{k}^{T} y_{k}}, \quad t>0$
$\beta_{k}^{\text {Perry }}=\frac{g_{k+1}^{T}\left(y_{k}-v_{k}\right)}{d_{k}^{T} y_{k}}$
$\beta_{k}^{L S}=\frac{g_{k+1}^{T} y_{k}}{-d_{k}^{T} g_{k}}$
$\beta_{k}^{C D}=\frac{\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}}{-d_{k}^{T} g_{k}}$
where $y_{k}=g_{k+1}-g_{k}$ and The symbol $\|$. is used to represent the Euclidean norm of vectors. symbol. The property of the global of Fletcher R.(FR) method, Polak R. Polyak(PRP) method, Hestenes S.(HS)method, Dai Y.(DY) method, Conjugate D.(CD) method and Liu,S.(LS) method can see(E.G. Birgin, 2001)
(J. Sun, 2001)(L. Zhang, W. Zhou, 2006)(Raydan, 1997)(R., 1987)(Liu Y., and Storey, 1991).
Also, many parameters are suggested, for example, Hager , Zhang(W, Hager, 2005) suggested another conjugate gradient algorithm , called CG- DESCENT method. Zhang Li et al. (Zhang L., Zhou W.J., 2006a)(Zhang L., Zhou W.J., 2006b) also suggested some modification conjugate gradient methods. You can see (Hussein A. Kh., 2019)(Hussein A. Kh., 2020)(Alaa L. I., Muhammad A. S., 2019).

## 2. DERIVATIVE OF NEW

## FORMULA $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\text {NEW }}$

The idea for finding a new conjugate gradient algorithm to find the minimum of the unconstrained problems is to use a new vector as follows,
Consider the vector bellow
$y_{k}^{*}=g_{k+1}+(1-\delta)\left(\left(\frac{g_{k+1}}{\gamma}\right)-\mu g_{k+1}\right)$
Where,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \in(0,1), \quad \mu=0.1 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\gamma=\frac{2 \sqrt{\omega}}{\left\|v_{k}\right\|}\left(1+\left\|x_{k+1}\right\|\right)$
and $\omega$ is the machine error
We replace the vector $y_{k}$ in the numerator of (1.10) by $y_{k}^{*}$, we get
$\beta_{k}^{N E W}=\frac{g_{k+1}^{T}\left(y_{k}^{*}-v_{k}\right)}{d_{k}^{T} y_{k}}$
Or
$\beta_{k}^{N E W}$
$=\frac{g_{k+1}^{T}\left(g_{k+1}+(1-\delta)\left(\left(\frac{g_{k+1}}{\gamma}\right)-\mu g_{k+1}\right)-v_{k}\right)}{d_{k}^{T} y_{k}}$
Here, we get
$\beta_{k}^{\text {NEW }}=$
$\frac{\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}+(1-\delta) \frac{\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}}{r}-(1-\delta) \mu\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}-g_{k+1}^{T} v_{k}}{d_{k}^{T} y_{k}}$

### 2.1 Outlines of the New Method

Step1:Choose $x_{1}$ and $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{10^{5}}$.
Step2:Set $d_{1}=-g_{1} ;$ If $\left\|g_{1}\right\| \leq \varepsilon$, then stop, $g_{k}=\nabla f\left(x_{k}\right)$; Set Choose $=1$.
Step3: Find the steplength $\alpha_{k}>0$, satisfying the below conditions (Wolfe condition)

$$
\begin{gathered}
f\left(x_{k}+\alpha_{k} d_{k}\right)-f\left(x_{k}\right) \leq q_{1} \alpha_{k} g_{k}^{T} d_{k} \\
\left|g_{K+1}^{T} d_{k}\right| \leq q_{2}\left|g_{k}^{T} d_{k}\right| \\
\text { where, } 0<q_{1}<q_{2}<1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Step4: Calculate $x_{k+1}=x_{k}+\alpha_{k} d_{k}$

$$
g_{k+1}=\nabla f\left(x_{k+1}\right) ; \quad I f\left\|g_{k+1}\right\| \leq
$$ $\varepsilon$, then stop.

Step5: Calculate $\beta_{k}^{\text {NEW }}$ by (2.3)
Step6: Evaluate $d_{k+1}=-g_{k+1}+\beta_{k}^{N E W} d_{k}$
Step7: If $\left|g_{k+1}^{T} g_{k}\right|>0.2 *\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}$, then, go to step2 .

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Else } \\
& k=k+1 \text { and goto step } 3 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.2 Descent Property and Sufficient Descent Property of the New Algorithm

Theorem 1:- If (1.2) gives the sequence $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$, then the descent condition is satisfied by the equation (1.4) using new gradient algorithm (2.3); $d_{k+1}^{T} * g_{k+1} \leq 0$ with exact line search and inexact line search.
Proof :- From equations (1.4) and (2.3) we get,
$d_{k+1}=-g_{k+1}+$
$\left(\frac{\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}+(1-\delta) \frac{\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}}{\gamma}(1-\delta) \mu\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}-g_{k+1}^{T} v_{k}}{d_{k}^{T} y_{k}}\right) d_{k}$
Implies that
$d_{k+1}=-g_{k+1}+\left(\frac{\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}}{d_{k}^{T} y_{k}}+\frac{(1-\delta)}{\gamma} \frac{\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}}{d_{k}^{T} y_{k}}-\right.$
$\left.(1-\delta) \mu \frac{\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}}{d_{k}^{T} y_{k}}-\frac{g_{k+1}^{T} v_{k}}{d_{k}^{T} y_{k}}\right) d_{k}$
Multiplying both sides of the above equation by
$g_{k+1}$ from right, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{k+1}^{T} g_{k+1}=- & \left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}+\frac{\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}}{d_{k}^{T} y_{k}} d_{k}^{T} g_{k+1} \\
& +\frac{(1-\delta)}{\gamma} * \frac{\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}}{d_{k}^{T} y_{k}} d_{k}^{T} g_{k+1} \\
& -(1-\delta) \mu \frac{\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}}{d_{k}^{T} y_{k}} d_{k}^{T} g_{k+1} \\
& -\alpha_{k} \frac{\left(d_{k}^{T} g_{k+1}\right)^{2}}{d_{k}^{T} y_{k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{k+1}^{T} g_{k+1}= & -\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}+(1+ \\
& \left.\frac{(1-\delta)}{\gamma}\right) \frac{\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}}{d_{k}^{T} y_{k}} d_{k}^{T} g_{k+1}- \\
& (1-\delta) \mu \frac{\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}}{d_{k}^{T} y_{k}} d_{k}^{T} g_{k+1}- \\
& \alpha_{k} \frac{\left(d_{k}^{T} g_{k+1}\right)^{2}}{d_{k}^{T} y_{k}} \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left(1+\frac{(1-\delta)}{\gamma}\right)>(1-\delta) \mu$, we can write the above equation as follows
$d_{k+1}^{T} g_{k+1}=-\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}+$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial \frac{\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}}{d_{k}^{T} y_{k}} d_{k}^{T} g_{k+1}-\alpha_{k} \frac{\left(d_{k}^{T} g_{k+1}\right)^{2}}{d_{k}^{T} y_{k}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $\partial=\left(1+\frac{(1-\delta)}{\gamma}\right)-(1-\delta) \mu$, which is positive.
Here, we take two cases, the first case if the stepsize is determined by an exact line search; which is $d_{k}^{T} g_{k+1}=0$, then, we get $d_{k+1}^{T} g_{k+1} \leq 0$.
The second case if we have inexact line search which is $d_{k}^{T} g_{k+1} \neq 0$.
By mathematical induction, from the first search direction, we get $d_{1}^{T} g_{1}=-\left\|g_{1}\right\|^{2} \leq 0$, and we suppose that it is true for case $k$ that is mean $d_{k}^{T} g_{k} \leq 0$. To prove case $k+1$
We know that DY parameter is satisfy the condition of the descent; then , the first term and second term of (2.7) are less than or equal to zero, and the third term clearly is les that zero, so we have

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{k+1}^{T} g_{k+1}= & -\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}+ \\
& \partial \frac{\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}}{d_{k}^{T} y_{k}} d_{k}^{T} g_{k+1} \\
- & \alpha_{k} \frac{\left(d_{k}^{T} g_{k+1}\right)^{2}}{d_{k}^{T} y_{k}} \leq 0 \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem2:- Suppose that $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ is produced by (1.2), then, the equation (1.4) with new equation (2.3) satisfies the sufficient descent condition,

$$
d_{k+1}^{T} g_{k+1} \leq-C\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}
$$

Proof:- From equation (2.7)
$d_{k+1}^{T} g_{k+1}=-\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}+\partial \frac{\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}}{d_{k}^{T} y_{k}} d_{k}^{T} g_{k+1}-$
$\alpha_{k} \frac{\left(d_{k}^{T} g_{k+1}\right)^{2}}{d_{k}^{T} y_{k}}$
Since the parameter of DY is satisfy the descent property, then we get the following inequality
$d_{k+1}^{T} g_{k+1} \leq-\alpha_{k} \frac{\left(d_{k}^{T} g_{k+1}\right)^{2}}{d_{k}^{T} y_{k}}$
Or
$d_{k+1}^{T} g_{k+1} \leq-\alpha_{k} \frac{\left(d_{k}^{T} g_{k+1}\right)^{2}}{d_{k}^{T} y_{k}} * \frac{\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}}{\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}}$
let $C=\alpha_{k} \frac{\left(d_{k}^{T} g_{k+1}\right)^{2}}{d_{k}^{T} y_{k}} * \frac{1}{\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}}$
Then we have
$d_{k+1}^{T} g_{k+1} \leq-C\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}$.

### 2.3 Convergence Analysis

## we assume that:

1) The level set $B=\left\{x ; x \in R^{n * 1}, f(x) \leq\right.$ $\left.f\left(x_{1}\right)\right\}$ is bounded, where $x_{1}$ is the beginning point.
2) The function $f$ is continuously differentiable and its gradient is Lipchitz continuous in a neighborhood of B , i.e. there is a constant $M>0$ such that
$\left\|g(x)-g\left(x_{k}\right)\right\| \leq M\left\|x-x_{k}\right\|, \forall x, x_{k} \in \Omega$
(2.11)

There is a constant under these assumptions on $f$, $\rho \geq 0$; such that $\|g(x)\| \leq \rho, \quad \forall x \in B$.
Lemma1: We suppose the assumptions (1) and (2) are holds and consider the equations (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4), where $d_{k}$ is a descent direction and $\alpha_{k}$ is calculated by the strong Wolfe condition.
$f\left(x_{k}+\alpha_{k} d_{k}\right)-f\left(x_{k}\right) \leq q_{1} * \alpha_{k} g_{k}^{T} d_{k}$
$\left|g_{K+1}^{T} d_{k}\right| \leq q_{2} g_{k}^{T} d_{k}$
If
$\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{\left\|d_{k}\right\|^{2}}=\infty$
Then
$\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf \left\|g_{k}\right\|=0$
See (Dai, Y.H., and Yuan, 1999).
If f is a function that is uniformly convex, there is a constant $\vartheta>0$ such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(g(x)-g(y))^{T}(x-y) \geq \vartheta\|x-y\|^{2} \in \Omega \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can rewrite (2.16) in the following manner:
$y_{k}^{T} v_{k} \geq \vartheta\left\|v_{k}\right\|^{2}$
Theorem 3: Suppose the above assumptions are holds and that f is a function that is uniformly convex. Then the equations (1.2), (1.4) with new method (2.3) where $d_{k}$ satisfies the descent condition and $\alpha_{k}$ is obtained by the strong Wolfe conditions (2.12) and (2.13) satisfies property of the global convergence.
That is mean $\quad \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}$ inf $\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|=0$
Proof: From (1.4) and (2.3), we get
$d_{k+1}=-g_{k+1}+\beta_{k}^{\text {New }} d_{k}$
$\left|\beta_{k}^{\text {New }}\right|=$
$\left|\frac{\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}+(1-\delta) \frac{\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}}{\gamma}-(1-\delta) \mu\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}-g_{k+1}^{T} v_{k}}{d_{k}^{T} y_{k}}\right|$
Or
$\left|\beta_{k}^{N e w}\right|=\left\lvert\, \frac{\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}}{d_{k}^{T} y_{k}}+\frac{(1-\delta)\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}}{\gamma d_{k}^{T} y_{k}}-\right.$
$\left.\frac{(1-\delta) \mu\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}}{d_{k}^{T} y_{k}}-\frac{g_{k+1}^{T} v_{k}}{d_{k}^{T} y_{k}} \right\rvert\,$
Since $g_{k+1}^{T} d_{k} \leq d_{k}^{T} y_{k}$, then,
$\left|\beta_{k}^{N e w}\right| \leq\left|\frac{\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}}{d_{k}^{T} y_{k}}\right|+\left|\frac{(1-\delta)\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}}{\gamma d_{k}^{T} y_{k}}\right|+$
$\left|\frac{(1-\delta) \mu\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}}{d_{k}^{T} y_{k}}\right|+\left|\alpha_{k}\right|$
Since $y_{k}^{T} d_{k} \geq \frac{\vartheta\left\|v_{k}\right\|^{2}}{\alpha_{k}}$, then, we can write equation (2.21) as follows
$\left|\beta_{k}^{N e w}\right| \leq \frac{\alpha_{k}\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}}{\vartheta\left\|v_{k}\right\|^{2}}+\frac{\alpha_{k}(1-\delta)\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}}{\gamma \vartheta\left\|v_{k}\right\|^{2}}+$
$\frac{\alpha_{k}(1-\delta) \mu\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|^{2}}{\vartheta\left\|v_{k}\right\|^{2}}+\alpha_{k}$
Here, we get
$\left|\beta_{k}^{N e w}\right| \leq \frac{\alpha_{k} \rho^{2}}{\vartheta\left\|v_{k}\right\|^{2}}+\frac{\alpha_{k}(1-\delta) \rho^{2}}{\gamma \vartheta\left\|v_{k}\right\|^{2}}+\frac{\alpha_{k}(1-\delta) \mu \rho^{2}}{\vartheta\left\|v_{k}\right\|^{2}}+\alpha_{k}$
(2.23)

Now, since,
$\left\|d_{k+1}\right\| \leq\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|+\left|\beta_{k}^{\text {New }}\right|\left\|d_{k}\right\|$
Then,
$\left\|d_{k+1}\right\| \leq \rho+\left(\frac{\alpha_{k} \rho^{2}}{\vartheta\left\|v_{k}\right\|^{2}}+\frac{\alpha_{k}(1-\delta) \rho^{2}}{\gamma \vartheta\left\|v_{k}\right\|^{2}}+\right.$
$\left.\frac{\alpha_{k}(1-\delta) \mu \rho^{2}}{v\left\|v_{k}\right\|^{2}}+\alpha_{k}\right)\left\|d_{k}\right\|$
Implies that
$\left\|d_{k+1}\right\| \leq \rho+\left(\frac{\rho^{2}}{\vartheta\left\|v_{k}\right\|}+\frac{(1-\delta) \rho^{2}}{\gamma \vartheta\left\|v_{k}\right\|}+\frac{(1-\delta) \mu \rho^{2}}{\vartheta\left\|v_{k}\right\|}+\right.$
$\left.\left\|v_{k}\right\|\right)$
Since, $\left\|v_{k}\right\|=\left\|x-x_{k}\right\|, \quad D=\max \{\| x-$ $\left.\left.x_{k} \|\right\}, \forall x, x_{k} \in R\right\}$
Here,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|d_{k+1}\right\| \leq \rho+ \\
& \quad\left(\frac{\rho^{2}}{\vartheta D}+\frac{(1-\delta) \rho^{2}}{\gamma \vartheta D}+\frac{(1-\delta) \mu \rho^{2}}{\vartheta D}+D\right) \tag{2.26}
\end{align*}
$$

So, inequality (2.26) becomes
$\left\|d_{k+1}\right\| \leq \rho+$

$$
\left(\frac{\rho^{2}}{\vartheta D}+\frac{(1-\delta) \rho^{2}}{\gamma \vartheta D}+\frac{(1-\delta) \mu \rho^{2}}{\vartheta D}+D\right)=\varphi
$$

Then,
$\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{\left\|d_{k+1}\right\|^{2}} \geq \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{\varphi^{2}}=\sum_{k \geq 1} 1=\infty$
And
$\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{\left\|d_{k+1}\right\|^{2}}=\infty$.By using lemma(1), we get $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf \left\|g_{k+1}\right\|=0$.

## 3. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this part, we present detailed numerical findings of a variety of problems applying a new method. We compare the new algorithm with standard Conjugate Gradient algorithm(Perry). The comparative tests contain nonlinear unconstrained problems (a popular testing function) with different dimensions $n=$ $4,100,500,1000,3000$ and
5000. FORTRAN 90 is the programming language used, the stopping condition is $\left\|g_{k+1}\right\| \leq 10^{-5}$. Tables (i) and (ii) show the number of functions (NOF) and iterations ( NOI). Results in tables (i) and (ii) showed that the our method is superior to standard Conjugate Gradient methods (Perry), with respect to the NOF and NOI

Table (i): Comparing the numerical results of the algorithms (Perry and New Algorithm)

| Test function | Dim. | Algorithm <br> Perry |  | of | New algorithm |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | NOI | NOF | NOI | NOF |
| Powell | 4 | 35 | 89 | 30 | 79 |
|  | 100 | 43 | 105 | 34 | 90 |
|  | 500 | 43 | 105 | 34 | 90 |
|  | 1000 | 45 | 120 | 34 | 91 |
|  | 3000 | 46 | 122 | 34 | 92 |
|  | 5000 | 46 | 122 | 34 | 92 |
| Rosen | 4 | 30 | 83 | 27 | 90 |
|  | 100 | 30 | 83 | 20 | 59 |
|  | 500 | 30 | 83 | 22 | 64 |
|  | 1000 | 30 | 83 | 16 | 51 |
| Miele | 3000 | 30 | 83 | 20 | 60 |
|  | 5000 | 30 | 83 | 17 | 53 |
| 4 | 46 | 113 | 21 | 76 |  |
|  | 100 | 52 | 198 | 14 | 46 |
|  | 500 | 58 | 229 | 44 | 190 |
|  | 1000 | 58 | 229 | 37 | 152 |
|  | 3000 | 64 | 261 | 35 | 146 |
| 5000 | 11 | 24 | 16 | 33 |  |
|  | 4 | 49 | 99 | 43 | 87 |
|  | 100 | 52 | 105 | 47 | 96 |
|  | 500 | 141 | 49 | 100 |  |
| 1000 |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 3000 | 170 | 351 | 146 | 309 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5000 | 166 | 350 | 140 | 296 |
| Wood | 4 | 30 | 68 | 27 | 63 |
|  | 100 | 30 | 68 | 28 | 64 |
|  | 500 | 30 | 68 | 27 | 62 |
|  | 1000 | 30 | 68 | 28 | 65 |
|  | 3000 | 30 | 68 | 28 | 64 |
|  | 5000 | 30 | 68 | 28 | 64 |
| Cubic | 4 | 12 | 35 | 16 | 50 |
|  | 100 | 13 | 37 | 11 | 33 |
|  | 500 | 13 | 37 | 10 | 30 |
|  | 1000 | 13 | 37 | 10 | 31 |
|  | 3000 | 13 | 37 | 10 | 30 |
|  | 5000 | 13 | 37 | 10 | 31 |
| Non-Digonal | 4 | 24 | 64 | 20 | 62 |
|  | 100 | 29 | 79 | 19 | 56 |
|  | 500 | 29 | 79 | 27 | 85 |
|  | 1000 | F | F | 24 | 74 |
|  | 3000 | 29 | 79 | 21 | 66 |
|  | 5000 | 30 | 81 | 24 | 77 |
| G-Edger | 4 | 5 | 14 | 5 | 14 |
|  | 100 | 5 | 14 | 5 | 14 |
|  | 500 | 6 | 16 | 5 | 14 |
|  | 1000 | 6 | 16 | 5 | 14 |
|  | 3000 | 6 | 16 | 5 | 14 |
|  | 5000 | 6 | 16 | 5 | 14 |
| Total |  | 1750 | 4682 | 1342 | 3650 |

Table(ii):- Percentage comparison of the algorithms(Algorithm of Perry and New Algorithm)

|  | Algorithm of Perry | New Algorithm |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NOI | $100 \%$ | $76.686 \%$ |
| NOF | $100 \%$ | $77.958 \%$ |

## 4. CONCLUSION

For nonlinear unconstrained minimization problems, a new conjugate gradient algorithm proposed. We have proved the descent condition of the proposed method, also the sufficient descent condition, moreover global convergence property. Numerical tests were done on problems with low and high dimensionality, and comparisons were done between different test functions. The new method has proven its efficiency through results in tables (i) and (ii).
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