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#### Abstract

In this paper by using the Lyapunov function we investigate the asymptotical stability and boundedness of solution or $3^{\text {rd }}$ order nonlinear differential equation. By using Lyapunov direct method to determine the asymptotic stability and bounded of solution for nonlinear differential equation by using a suitable Lyapunov function.
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## INTRODUCTION

In the last years, a lot of interesting results related to the qualitative behaviors of solutions; stability, instability, asymptotically stability, exponentially stability, etc., of nonlinear $3^{\text {rd }}$ order differential equation. As we know the boundedness of solution and stability are very important in the applications of differential equation using Lyapunov direct method. We can determine the stability for nonlinear differential equation without solving it only by define or finding a suitable Lyapunov function.[2,3,6,8,10]

Consider the $3^{\text {rd }}$ order nonlinear differential Eq. (1) with continuous functions $\propto, g$ and $Q$.

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{\prime \prime \prime}+\propto\left(u, u^{\prime}\right) u^{\prime \prime} & +g\left(u, u^{\prime}\right) \\
& =Q\left(t ; u, u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime}\right) \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

In addition the initial condition guaranteed the continuous existence and uniqueness of solution.

In this paper i try to give some simplification to Barbashin theorem [1] also to expand the results in [4] and [5] for discussing the boundedness of solution of Eq. (1) on a real line. In [4] and [5] Eq. (1) is simplified and reduced in order as a system of $1^{\text {st }}$ order by assuming that

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{\prime}=v \\
v^{\prime}=z \\
z^{\prime}=-\alpha(u, v) z-g(u, v) \\
+Q\left(t ; u, u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime}\right) \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

For this system, a new Lyapunov function is obtained.

Some basic definition:[3,4,5]
Definition (1): Suppose that a system of first order differential equation $u^{\prime}=f(t, u)$ defined in $R^{n}$ and the Lyapunov function $w$ defined as

$$
w: I \times R^{n} \rightarrow R, u \in R^{n}
$$

$w(t, u) \geq 0$
$w(t, u)=0$, if and only if $u=0$
$w^{\prime}(t, u) \leq-c|u|$, where $c>0$, and $|u|=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$

Definition(2): A Lyapunov function is any continuous and differentiable function $W: G \rightarrow R$, such that $W(t, u)$ is positive defined for all $t \in$ $\left[t_{0},+\infty\right), x \in R^{n}$, and the time derivative of this function is negative semi -definite or negative definite or positive definite.

Definition(3): The zero solution of Eq. (1) is asymptotic stable if it is stable and if it approaches zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

For finding the asymptotically stable of Eq. (1) we suppose that $Q(t ; u, v, z) \equiv 0$ this means that Eq. (1) is in a homogeneous case.

Theorem (1): Assume that $g$ and $\propto$ are continuous functions, and let $I_{0}=[\delta, J], J=\beta k \varepsilon-\beta k \varepsilon^{2}, \delta, k, \varepsilon$ and $\beta$ are positive constant. Additionally the following assumptions are hold:

1) $g_{u}=\frac{g(u, v)-g(0, v)}{u} \in I_{0}=\gamma, u \neq 0$,
2) $g_{v}=\frac{g(u, v)-g(u, 0)}{v} \in I_{0}=\beta, v \neq 0$,
3) $g(0, v)=g(u, 0)=0$ and $|\alpha(u, v)| \leq k$.

Then the trivial solution of Eq. (1) is asymptotic stable. In the case of $Q(t ; u, v, z) \neq 0$ this means that non homogeneous case.
Theorem (2): Suppose that all assumption of theorem (1) are satisfy and $Q(t ; u, v, z) \leq M$, where $M>0$, then $0<M<\infty$, and depend only on $k, \beta$ and $\delta$, such that all solution of Eq. (1) satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u^{2}(t)+v^{2}(t)+z^{2}(t) \\
& \quad \leq e^{-\frac{1}{2} \mu t}\left\{M_{1}+M_{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}|P(\tau)| e^{\frac{1}{2} \mu \tau} d \tau\right\}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$t \geq t_{0}, M_{1}, M_{2}>0$.
Theorem (3): By the assumption of theorem (2) and putting $|Q(t ; u, v, z)|=(|u|+|v|+|z|) Q(t)$, where $Q(t)$ is a positive continuous function and satisfy $\int_{0}^{t} Q(s) d s \leq A<\infty, A>0$.
Therefore, there exist a constant $M_{0}$ such that all solution $x(t)$ of Eq. (1) satisfy $|u(t)| \leq M_{0},\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq$ $M_{0},\left|u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \leq M_{0}$, for sufficient large $t$.
To prove above theorems, by finding the suitable Lyapunov function $w=w(u, v, z)$. Which i obtained below after some lengthy algebraic computations,[1].

$$
\begin{gathered}
2 w=\frac{a \delta}{\Delta}\left\{\left[\beta^{2}(1-\varepsilon)^{2}\right] u^{2}+\left\{(1-\varepsilon)\left[k^{2}-\beta(1-\varepsilon)\right]\right.\right. \\
+\beta] v^{2}+z^{2}+2 k \beta(1-\varepsilon)^{2} u v \\
\left.+2(1-\varepsilon)^{2} \beta u z+2 k(1-\varepsilon) v z\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Where $a, \delta, k, \beta, \Delta$ and $\varepsilon$ are all positive for all $u, v, z$ with $\delta>1$ and $\Delta=\alpha \beta(\delta-1)(1-\varepsilon)^{2}$.
Subject to the assumption of theorem (1) there exist positive constant $A_{i}=A_{i}(a, \delta, k, \beta, \Delta, \varepsilon), i=1,2$ such that

$$
A_{1}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}+z^{2}\right) \leq w(u, v, z) \leq A_{2}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}+z^{2}\right)
$$

By rearranging Lyponov function we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
w=\frac{a \delta}{2 \Delta}\{[\beta(1-\varepsilon) u+k(1-\varepsilon) v \\
+z]^{2}+\beta^{2}(1-\varepsilon)^{2} u^{2} \\
+\varepsilon[(1-\varepsilon) k+\beta \varepsilon] v^{2} \\
-\varepsilon \beta(1-\varepsilon) u z\} \\
w=\frac{a \delta}{2 \Delta}\{[\beta(1-\varepsilon) u+k(1-\varepsilon) v \\
+z]^{2}+\beta^{2} \varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)^{2} u^{2}-\beta \varepsilon(1 \\
-\varepsilon)\left(u+\frac{1}{2} z\right)^{2} \\
+
\end{gathered}
$$

Which reduces to

$$
w \leq A_{2}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}+z^{2}\right)
$$

Where $A_{2}=\frac{\delta}{2 \Delta} \max \left\{\beta^{2} \varepsilon^{2}(1+\beta+k),(1-\right.$ $\varepsilon k k+1+\beta 1-\varepsilon k-11+1-\varepsilon k+\beta 1-\varepsilon$.

Lemma(1): Assume that all assumption of theorem (1) holds and $A_{3}$ is any positive constant, therefore for any solution of the system (2)
$w^{\prime}=\frac{d}{d t} w(u, v, z) \leq-A_{3}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}+z^{2}\right)$, where $A_{3}=A_{3}(a, \delta, \Delta)$.
Proof. From equation (1) and system (2) we have $w^{\prime}=\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} u^{\prime}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial y} v^{\prime}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial z} z^{\prime}=\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} v+\frac{\partial w}{\partial y} z+\frac{\partial w}{\partial z}(-$

$$
\propto(u, v) z-g(u, v))
$$

Which implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
w^{\prime}=\frac{a \delta}{\Delta}\left\{\left[\beta^{2}(1-\right.\right. & \varepsilon)^{2} u v \\
& +\left\{(1-\varepsilon)\left[k^{2}-\beta(1-\varepsilon)+\beta\right\} v u\right. \\
& +z-\alpha(u, v) z-g(u, v))+k \beta(1 \\
& -\varepsilon)^{2}\left[v^{2}+u z\right] \\
& +(1 \\
& -\varepsilon)^{2} \beta[v z \\
& +u(\alpha(u, v) z-g(u, v))] \\
& +k(1-\varepsilon)\left[z^{2}\right. \\
& +v(\alpha(u, v) z-g(u, v))]\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have
$g_{u}=\frac{g(u, v)-g(0, v)}{u}$ and $g_{v}=\frac{g(u, v)-g(u, 0)}{v}$ after simplification we get

$$
w^{\prime}=\frac{-a \delta}{\Delta}\left\{u^{2}+v^{2}+z^{2}\right\}
$$

Suppose that $A_{3} \leq \frac{a \delta}{\Delta}$, then we get this inequality

$$
w^{\prime}=-A_{3}\left\{u^{2}+v^{2}+z^{2}\right\}
$$

Here, we arrive to the end of proof of the lemma(1).
Lemma (2): Assume that all assumption of theorem (2) hold, then for any positive constants $A_{4}, A_{5}$ depending on $a, \beta, \varepsilon, k, \Delta, \delta$ for any solution of the system (2) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
w^{\prime}=\frac{d w(u, v, z)}{d t} & \leq-A_{4}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}+z^{2}\right)+A_{5}(|u| \\
& +|v|+|z|)|Q(t ; u, v, z)|
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. When $Q \neq 0$
Let $Q(t ; u, v, z)=Q(t)$, then we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
w^{\prime}=\frac{a \delta}{\Delta}\left\{\left[\beta^{2}(1-\right.\right. & \left.\varepsilon)^{2}\right] u v \\
& +\left\{(1-\varepsilon)\left[k^{2}-\beta(1-\varepsilon)+\beta\right\} v z\right. \\
& +z- \\
& \propto(u, v) z-g(u, v)+Q(t)+k \beta(1 \\
& -\varepsilon)^{2}\left[v^{2}+u z\right] \\
& +(1 \\
& -\varepsilon)^{2} \beta[v z \\
& +u(\propto(u, v) z-g(u, v)+Q(t))] \\
& +k(1-\varepsilon)\left[z^{2}\right. \\
& +v(\propto(u, v) z-g(u, v)+Q(t))\}
\end{aligned}
$$

then we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
w^{\prime}=\frac{d w(u, v, z)}{d t} & =-\frac{a \delta}{\Delta}\left\{u^{2}+v^{2}+z^{2}-\left(1-\varepsilon^{2} \beta\right) u\right. \\
& +k(1-\varepsilon) v+z) Q(t)\} \\
& \leq-\frac{a \delta}{\Delta}\left\{u^{2}+v^{2}+z^{2}-A_{4}(|u|+\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$v+z Q(t)$
$A_{4}=\operatorname{maximum}\left((1-\varepsilon)^{2} \beta, k(1-\varepsilon), 1\right)$
Therefore $\quad w^{\prime}=\frac{d w(u, v, z)}{d t} \leq-A_{3}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}+z^{2}\right)+$ $A_{5}(|u|+|v|+|z|) Q(t)$
Where $A_{5}=\frac{A_{4} a \delta}{\Delta} \quad, \quad(|u|+|v|+|z|) \leq \sqrt{3}\left(u^{2}+\right.$ $\left.v^{2}+z^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$
Then we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d w(u, v, z)}{d t} \leq & -A_{4}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}+z^{2}\right)+A_{6}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+z^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} Q(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $A_{6}=\sqrt{3} A_{5}$ and $A_{3}=A_{4}$
This complete proof of lemma (2)
Now we give the proof of theorem (1) from the proof of lemma (1) and (2) we conclude that the zero solution of Eq. (1) is asymptotic stable this means that the solutions $(u(t), v(t), z(t))$ of system (2) are satisfy $u^{2}(t)+v^{2}(t)+z^{2}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
For Proof of theorem (2)
From the inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d w(u, v, z)}{d t} \leq & -A_{3}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}+z^{2}\right)+A_{6}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+z^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} Q(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

And inequality satisfy the condition $\left(u^{2}+v^{2}+\right.$ $\left.z^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq\left(\frac{2 w}{A_{1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, thus it becomes

$$
\frac{d w(u, v, z)}{d t} \leq-A_{7} w+A_{8} w^{\frac{1}{2}}|Q(t)|
$$

or

$$
w^{\prime} \leq-2 A_{9} w+A_{8} w^{\frac{1}{2}}|Q(t)|
$$

where $A_{9}=\frac{1}{2} A_{7}$, therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w^{\prime}+A_{9} w \leq-A_{9} w+A_{8} w^{\frac{1}{2}}|Q(t)| \\
& \leq A_{8} w^{\frac{1}{2}}\{|Q(t)|-
\end{aligned}
$$

## A10w12

Where $A_{10}=\frac{A_{9}}{A_{8}}$, this implies that

$$
w^{\prime}+A_{9} w \leq A_{8} w_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}} w^{*}
$$

Where $w^{*}=|Q(t)|-A_{10} w^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq w^{\frac{1}{2}}|Q(t)| \leq|Q(t)|$
When

$$
\begin{aligned}
|Q(t)| & \leq A_{10} w^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
w^{*} & \leq \frac{|Q(t)|}{A_{10}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have

$$
w^{\prime}+A_{9} w \leq A_{11} w^{\frac{1}{2}}|Q(t)|
$$

Where

$$
A_{11}=\frac{A_{8}}{A_{10}}
$$

This implies

$$
w^{-\frac{1}{2}} w^{\prime}+A_{9} w^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq A_{11}|Q(t)|
$$

By multiplying both sides by $e^{\frac{1}{2} A_{9} t}$ we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
e^{\frac{1}{2} A_{9} t}\left\{w^{-\frac{1}{2}} w^{\prime}+A_{9} w^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\} \leq e^{\frac{1}{2} A_{9} t} A_{11}|Q(t)| \\
\frac{d}{d t}\left\{w^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2} A_{9} t}\right\} \leq \frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{1}{2} A_{9} t} A_{11}|Q(t)|
\end{gathered}
$$

By integrating both sides from $t_{0}$ to $t$ we get

$$
\left\{w^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2} A_{9} s}\right\}_{t_{0}}^{t} \leq \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{1}{2} A_{9} \tau} A_{11}|Q(\tau)| d \tau
$$

which implies to

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\{w^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)\right\} e^{\frac{1}{2} A_{9} t} \leq w^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(t_{0}\right) e^{\frac{1}{2} A_{9} t_{0}} \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2} A_{11} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}|Q(\tau)| d \tau e^{\frac{1}{2} A_{9} \tau} d \tau \\
& w^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \leq e^{-\frac{1}{2} A_{9} t}\left\{w^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(t_{0}\right) e^{\frac{1}{2} A_{9} t_{0}}\right. \\
&\left.+\frac{1}{2} A_{11} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}|Q(\tau)| d \tau e^{\frac{1}{2} A_{9} \tau} d \tau\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and we have this two inequality

$$
w \leq A_{2}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}+z^{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
A_{1}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}+z^{2}\right) \leq w(u, v, z) \leq A_{2}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}+z^{2}\right)
$$

by this inequality we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{1}\left(u^{2}(t)+v^{2}(t)\right. & +z^{2}(t) \\
& \leq e^{-\frac{1}{2} A_{9} t}\left\{A _ { 2 } \left(u^{2}\left(t_{0}\right)+v^{2}\left(t_{0}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.+z^{2}\left(t_{0}\right)\right) e^{\frac{1}{2} A_{9} t_{0}} \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2} A_{11} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}|Q(\tau)| d \tau e^{\frac{1}{2} A_{9} \tau} d \tau\right\}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\forall t \geq t_{0}$, this implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u^{2}(t)+u^{\prime 2}(t)+ u^{\prime \prime 2}(t) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{A_{1}}\left\{e ^ { - \frac { 1 } { 2 } A _ { 9 } t } \left\{A _ { 2 } \left(u^{2}\left(t_{0}\right)+v^{2}\left(t_{0}\right)\right.\right.\right. \\
&\left.+z^{2}\left(t_{0}\right)\right) e^{\frac{1}{2} A_{9} t_{0}} \\
&\left.\left.+\frac{1}{2} A_{11} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}|Q(\tau)| d \tau e^{\frac{1}{2} A_{9} \tau} d \tau\right\}^{2}\right\} \\
& \leq\left\{e^{-\frac{1}{2} A_{9} t}\left\{k_{1}+k_{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}|Q(\tau)| e^{\frac{1}{2} A_{9} \tau} d \tau\right\}^{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $k_{1}, k_{2}$ are constant depending on $A_{1}, A_{2},\left(u^{2}\left(t_{0}\right)+v^{2}\left(t_{0}\right)+z^{2}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)$ and $A_{11}$ respectively.
By supposing that $A_{9}=\gamma$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
u^{2}(t)+v^{2}(t)+ & z^{2}(t) \\
& \leq\left\{e ^ { - \frac { 1 } { 2 } \gamma t } \left\{k_{1}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+k_{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}|Q(\tau)| e^{\frac{1}{2} \gamma \tau} d \tau\right\}^{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We arrive to the end of proof of theorem (2).
To prove theorem (3) by the assumption of lemma (1) and (2)
$w^{\prime} \leq-A_{4}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}+z^{2}\right)+A_{5}(|u|+|v|+|z|)|Q(t)|$
we get

$$
w^{\prime} \leq A_{5}(|u|+|v|+|z|)^{2} a(t)
$$

this implies to

$$
w^{\prime} \leq A_{11}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}+z^{2}\right) a(t)
$$

We know that $|u||v| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)$, where $A_{11}=3 A_{5}$ , and from lemma (1) we have

$$
w \geq A_{1}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}+z^{2}\right)
$$

Then we get

$$
w^{\prime} \leq A_{11} w a(t)
$$

By integrating both sides from 0 to $t$ we get

$$
w(t)-w(0) \leq A_{12} \int_{0}^{t} w(s) a(s) d s
$$

Where

$$
A_{12}=\frac{A_{11}}{A_{1}}=\frac{3 A_{5}}{A_{1}}
$$

Then we have

$$
w(t) \leq w(0)+A_{12} \int_{0}^{t} w(s) a(s) d s
$$

By using Grownwall-Bellman inequality we get

$$
w(t) \leq w(0) e^{A_{12} \int_{0}^{t} a(s) d s}
$$

This complete the proof of theorem (3).
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> أستقرارية ومحدودية الحل المحاذي للمعادلات التفاصلية غير الخطية من الرتبة الثالثة

# هذا البحث تم أستحدام دالة ليابونوف في دراسة أستقرارية ومحدودية الحل المحاذي للمعادلات التفاضلية غير الخطية من الرتبة الثالثة. <br> أضافة الى ذلك تم أستخدام طريقة ليابونوف المباشرة من أجل تحديد أستقرارية و محدودية الحل المحاذي للمعادلات التفاضلية وذلك بأيجاد دالة ليابونوف المناسبة لهذا الحل. 
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