POTATO MINITUBERS PRODUCTION AND FIELD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

AL-ANI. M.A. R; ABDULMAJEED.W.A., AL-HUSSAINI Z.A; YASEEN D. D.; JABBAR N.J. and SHUKER KH.E Dept. of Genetic Engineering, Agricultural Research Directorate, Biotechnology Center, Ministry of Science and Technology, Baghdad-Iraq

(Accepted for Publication: October 21, 2019)

ABSTRACT

A field comparison of different sizes of minitubers $< 2.5, 2.5 \cdot 3.5$ and > 3.5 cm *in vitro* produced from two cultivars Emma and Bureen. yield traits and Morphological characteristic of vegetative growth were taken after 90 days of planting. In yield traits, Results showed significant differences in all these traits. Minituber of Emma at size > 3.5 cm gave higher number of tubers, diameter and weight reached 5.90 tuber plant⁻¹ 45.43 mm and 36.20 g respectively. In contrast, the ability of minitubers of Bureen at size < 2.5 cm was negatively reflected on all yield trait (3.90 tuber plant-¹, 37.77 mm and 33.10 g). All morphological characteristic of vegetative growth except the percentage of chlorophyll were significantly affected by the size of the minitubers, Emma cultivar at size >3.5 cm surpassed in Plant height, number of shoots and leaves, shoot and root fresh weight were reached 35.40 cm, 4.00 shoot, 30.40 leave, 36.82 g and 4.71g respectively.

KEYWORDS: Potato, Minituber size, In Vitro, field performance, plantlet.

INTRODUCTION

Ootato (Solanum tuberosum L.) is one of the most important vegetable crops in the world. Potato follows wheat and rice in terms of food and production (Hassan, 2003). In addition to industrial use, it sometimes substitutes for wheat. In the Arab world according to activated area, Iraq was ranked as the fourth producer after Egypt, Algeria and Morocco (Nada et al., 2017). Iraq, Despite the cultivated area, potato In production failed to meet the needs of Iraqi consumers due to low productivity as a results of viral infection. Moreover, Iraq is one of the countries that depends on the annual import of seeds from European countries, which is approximately 68.1% of the total consumption costs (AL-Mashhadani and Mohammed, 2005).

Plant tissue culture technique was widely used in the 1970s until now as a seed Potato production (free of viruses) by culturing plantlets which *in vitro* propagated either directly to produce minitubers (Särekanno *et al.*, 2010; 2012; Ashwani and Pandy, 2013; AL-Ani *et al*, 2017) or produced microtubers after planted in medium MS (Murashige and Skoog,1962) salt supplemented with different types of growth regulator with high concentration of sugar under controlled conditions (AL-Taweel et al, 2004; AL-Hussaini et al., 2015), which harvested after 60-90 days stored under a low temperature and approximately seven months then transferred to field for minitubers production after encouraging sprouting. Directly producing minitubers (in vitro plantlets way) provided many advantages, including, Shortenin the duration of in vitro microtuberization, storage, as well as the requirements associated with the production of microtubers in terms of nutrient medium, growth regulators, laboratory space and manpower (Ashwani and Pandy, 2013).

Minitubers were defined as a progeny tubers produced from *in vitro* propagated plantlets (Struik,2007), it is smaller in size than conventional seed potato and larger than microtubers (Lommen and Struik, 1990), the size and weight of minitubers varies from 5-25 mm (Ashwani and Pandy, 2013) and 0.1-10 g (Struik,2007). According to Several studies were mentioned to the effect of minitubers size on yield and morphological characteristics, Ilze and Zinta (2015) studied the effect of minitubers size (weight) ranges 3-5, 5-10, 10-20 g, >20 g on

some plant development characteristics (emergence, canopy closure) and vield parameters (tuber number and tuber yield of seed size grade >25 mm) for three cultivars at different maturity ('Monta' - early maturity, 'Prelma' - medium early and 'Mandaga' medium late maturity) were planted in field, they that smaller minitubers produced found significantly less progeny tubers, that 51 progeny tubers obtained from minitubers 3-5 g, 54 from minitubers 5-10 g, 59 from minitubers 10-20 g and 70 tubers from minitubers >20 g. Also they mentioned to the relationship between number of main stems m⁻² and tuber yield, kg m⁻ ², which were moderate. Canopy closure correlated strongly negatively with the number of main stems m⁻² and progeny tuber number >25 mm per m². Finally they recommended that many experiments must be carried out to find more certain minituber size effects on various vield parameters, i.e. progeny tuber size distribution. Therefore, the aim of this study to investigate the response of different size of minitubers of two cultivars of potato (Emma and Daimant) on yield and morphological traits.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The (*in vitro* and *Ex vitro*) experiments were conducted at the Plant Tissue Culture Laboratories of the Genetic Engineering Department and AL-Latifya research station of the Center of Plant Breeding in Agricultural Research Directorate / Ministry of Science and Technology /Iraq.

In vitro initiation, multiplication and *Ex vitro* Minituber production

Sprouts were removed from the potato tubers Emma and Bureen after breaking the dormancy under 25 ° C \pm 2 and indirect lighting. The sprouts were cleaned and sterilized at 2% sodium hypochlorite for 10 min (Al-Taweel et al., 2004). Meristems (0.1-0.3 mm with a pair of leaf primordial) were isolated from vegetative growth and cultured on initiation medium MS (Murashige and Skoog, 1962) salt supplemented with 0.4, 100, 2, 2, 1, and 30.000 mgl⁻¹ of Thiamine HCL, Inositol, Glycin, Nicotinic Acid, Indole Acetic Acid, and sugar respectively. after 2-3 subcultures, shoot multiplication was performed in the previous media, after cutting into 1-2 cm long stem cuttings (with 1-2 nods) and cultured in glass container. All cultures were

placed in a growth room chamber at 25 ± 1 °C with (16:8 h) light / dark. After month of multiplication, the propagules (rooted plantlets) were washed in tap water to remove the agar and transplanting in polyethylene bags filled with a mixture of sand: peatmoss with 1:1 ratio and covered with transparent plastics bags. After 90 days minitubers were harvested and classified according to the size (diameter) to < 2.5 cm, 2.5-3.5 cm and > 3.5 cm by using vernier.

Evaluation of field performance

First generation (G1) of minitubers at different size < 2.5, 2.5-3.5 and > 3.5cm were planted at Al-Latifya field, in trophs at distance 1x20 meters contains sandy soil in two lines. Drip irrigation was used for all minitubers and was fertilized according to fertilizer recommendations () with the use of leaf fertilization every 2 weeks. Data was analyzed as a factorial in Randomized Completely Block Design (R.C.B.D) with three replicates. Yield characteristic (number, diameter and weight of minitubers) and morphological characteristic (plant height (cm), number of shoots and leaves, fresh and dry weight for vegetative and root system and Chlorophyll percentage) were recorded, Data was analyzed using GenStat softwere program, means were compared using Duncan's test at a probability level of 5%

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of cultivars, size of minintubers and their interaction on Yield characteristics

Among yield characteristics no significant differences were found between the two cultivars in the number, daimeter and weight of the minitubers (Table 1). While the size of minitubers were significantly affected on yield characterize, except weight of minituber, in which the size (> 3.5 cm) significantly exceeded in number and daimeter of minituber (5.63 minituber plant⁻¹, 42.92 mm respectively) (table 1).

According to the same table the effect of the interaction between cultivars and minitubers size was significant in all yield characterize. It showed that the two size 2-5 -3.5 and > 3.5cm approached significantly in their effect in yield traits, that size >3.5 cm of Emma cultivar was significantly surpassed in number of minituber, diameter and weight averaged 5.90 minituber plant⁻¹, 45.43mm and 36.20g respectively. On

the contrary, the poor response of the Bureen and Emma cultivars appeared when minituber are grown in size <2.5 cm on the progeny tuber

number, diameter and weight were averaged 3.90 minituber plant-1 37.77 mm and 23.60 g respectively.

	т	Mean						
Cultivoro								
Cuttivars	< 2.5 cm	2.5-3.5 cm	> 3.5 cm	_				
	Number of minitubers. plant ⁻¹							
Emma	4.40 bc	5.00 abc	5.90 a	5.10 a				
Bureen	3.90 c	3.90 c	5.40 ab	4.40 a				
Mean	4.15 b	4.45 b	5.63 a	_				
	Minitubers diameter (mm)							
Emma	40.19 ab	41.55 ab	45.43 a	42.39 a				
Bureen	37.77 b	41.44 ab	40.40 ab	39.87 a				
Mean	38.98 b	41.50 ab	42.92 a	_				
	_							
Emma	23.60 b	27.10 ab	36.20 a	29.00 a				
Bureen	34.90 a	33.10 b	25.80 ab	31.30 a				
Mean	29.50 a	30.10 a	31.00 a					

Table (1): Effect of cultivars	, minitubers size and their int	eraction on potato yield traits
--------------------------------	---------------------------------	---------------------------------

Means followed by the same letters are not significantly different (P < 0.05) according to Duncan's multiple range test

Effect of cultivars, size of minintubers and their interaction on characteristics vegetative growth

It seems from the results in Table (2) that cultivars significant effect on some morphological traits plant height, number of shoots and leaves , dry weight of roots and chlorophyll, that Emma was significantly superior in plant height, number of shoot and leaves (33.07 cm, $3.50 \text{ shoot plant}^{-1}$ and 27.23leaf plant⁻¹). While Bureen surpassed in the dry weight of root and the percentage of chlorophyll (0.94 g and 34.24 %). Results in the same table showed that the different sizes of minitubers had no significant in effect on some morphological traits of the vegetative growth (plant height, number of shoots and leaves, fresh and dry weight of shoot and percentage of chlorophyll), While effected on the fresh and dry weight of roots, that minituber at size > 3.5 cm superior and given 4.50 g and 1.06 g respectively. The analysis of the interaction between cultivars, minitubers size in table (2) showed significant differences in all morphological traits of the vegetative growth except the percentage of chlorophyll. It is clear that minituber at size > 3.5 cm superiority in plant height, number of shoot ,leaves, shoot fresh weight and root fresh weight (35.40 cm, 4.0 shoot, 30.40 leaves, 36.82 g and 4.71 g respectively). While the size of minitubers at 2.5-3.5 cm gave higher rate in shoot dry weight (10.88g). The dry weight ratio of root for both cultivars was approximated when minitubers were grown in size > 3.5 cm (1.05 and 1.08 g of Emma and Bureen). On the other hand, minitubers at size 2,5-3.5 cm gave lowest rates in plant height, shoot ,leaves number and dry weight of shoot and root (29.78) cm , 2.80 shoot plant⁻¹ , 20.50 leaf plant⁻¹, 7.49g and 0.56 g), as well as minituber at size less than 2.5 gave lowest rates in fresh weight of shoot and root(14.70 and 2.41 g g).

	Treatments			Mean
Cultivore	Minitubers size			
Cultivals	< 2.5 cm	2.5-3.5 cm	> 3.5 cm	_
		plant height (cm)		
Emma	30.90 ab	32.90 ab	35.40 a	33.07 a
Bureen	31.20 ab	29.78 b	28.80 b	29.90 k
Mean	31.05 a	31.30 a	32.10 a	_
		Number of shoots plant ⁻¹		
Emma	3.20 ab	3.30 ab	4.00 a	3.50 a
Bureen	3.10 b	2.80 b	2.80 b	2.90 b
Mean	3.15 a	3.05 a	3.40 a	
		Number of leaves. plant ⁻¹		_
Emma	27.00 ab	24.30 bc	30.40 a	27.23 a
Bureen	22.00 c	20.50 c	20.70 c	21.07 h
Mean	24.50 a	22.40 a	25.55 a	
		Shoots fresh weight (g)		
Emma	14.70 b	22.40 ab	36.82 a	24.6 a
Bureen	27.80 ab	23.80 ab	25.30 ab	25.6 a
Mean	21.20 a	23.10 a	31.10 a	_
		Shoots dry weight (g)		
Emma	8.53 ab	10.88 a	9.41 ab	9.60 a
Bureen	9.17 ab	7.49 ab	6.61 b	7.76 a
Mean	8.85 a	9.18 a	8.01 a	
		Roots fresh weight (g)		
Emma	2.41 b	2.73 b	4.71 a	3.28 a
Bureen	2.71 b	4.25 a	4.28 a	3.75 a
Mean	2.56 с	3.49 b	4.50 a	
		Roots dry weight (g)		
Emma	0.58 b	0.56 b	1.05 a	0.73 b
Bureen	0.75 ab	0.98 a	1.08 a	0.94 a
Mean	0.66 c	0.77 b	1.06 a	
]	Percentage of chorophyl (%	ó)	
Emma	37.45 a	35.91 a	37.10 a	36.82 1
Bureen	33.98 a	34.94 a	33.80 a	34.24 a
Mean	35.71 a	35.42 a	35.45 a	

Table (2): Effect of cultivars, minitubers size and their interaction on vegetative growth traits .

Means followed by the same letters are not significantly different (P < 0.05) according to Duncan's multiple range test .

CONCLUSIONS

In general, the process of the seed potato formation is influenced by a number of factors, including environmental conditions, fertilization, cultivars, physiological age of seeds. this starting with the germination of the apical buds stage which called apical dominance (Kumar and Knowles, 1993), followed by other eyes in germination as a result of the loss of inhibitory hormones, it is considered suitable for growing tubers at 15°C (Wiersema, 1985). After 20-30 days of emergence of the plant over the soil, sub apical region of ground stems was swelled which represents the beginning of the formation of tubers. The difference in the size of seed potato (tubers) leads to difference in the number of stems, rate of emergence of seedling, total number of vegetative growth and the size of the progeny tubers during the first weeks after germination. (Abu Raddha and Abu Sherbi, 2011).

It is clear from the results that cultivars (Emma and Bureen) differed in their ability to form the progeny tubers. According to this ability, minitubers at size more than > 3.5 cm showed high ability to produce the progeny tubers and yield, vegetative growth characterization when compared with minitubers at size less than < 2.5 cm). While minitubers at size 2.5- 3.5 cm were approached significantly in progeny tubers. This results agreed with (IIze and Zinta ,2015) who mentioned to the smaller minitubers produced significantly less progeny tubers. The difference between two cultivars maybe explained to the methods used to grow the seed tubers (Powell *et al.*, 1989).

REFERENCE

- Abu Raddha, M. R. and A. Sh. Abu Sharbi. 2011. Potato Crops. Ministry of Agriculture. National Center for Agricultural Research and Extension -Jordan.
- Al-Ani .M.A. R.: Abdulmajeed.W.A.; El-Kaaby.E.A.J.;Ibrahim.A.M.; Naser.F.; Redha.A.; Ahmed.M. and Abdalzahra.S. (2017). Field performance evaluation of two potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) cultivars propagated In Vitro. Int. J. of Multidisciplinary and Current research, 5, 1154-1157.
- AL-Hussaini; Z.A.; Yousif, SH. A. and AL-Ajeely, S.A. (2015). The role of sucrose and light duration on in vitro tuberization for two cultivars of Potato Solanum tuberosum L . Int.J.Curr. Microbiol.App.Sci.4(2): 277-283.
- AL-Mashhadani, Abdullah Mohammed (2005).
 Financial Calendar for the production of potato crop in Iraq (seen in the village of Yusufiya / Baghdad, a model for the study).
 Iraqi Agricultural Science magazine.36 (3): 151-156.(in Arabic).
- Al-Taweel, K.; Al-Maarri, K.; Kheeti, M.; Abdul-Kader, A. (2004). Effects of some factors influencing on in vitro tuberization of potato cv. «Draga». Damascus University, J. Agric. Sci., 2(20): 265 280.
- Ashwani, K. Sharma1 and KK Pandey. (2013). Potato Mini-tuber production through direct transplanting of *in vitro* plantlets in green or screen house – a review. Potato J . 40 (2): 95-103.
- Hassan, A. Abdel M. (2003). potato. Arab House for publication and distribution. Cairo. The Egyptian Arabic Republic.(in Arabic).

- Ilze, D. and Zinta, G. (2015). Potato minitubers technology – its development and diversity: a review . Research for Rural Development .1, 69-76.
- Kumar, G. N. M. and , N. Richard Knowles . (1993).Involvement of auxin in the loss of apical dominance and plant growth potential accompanying aging of potato seed tubers. Canadian Journal of Botany. 71(4): 541-550. https://doi.org/10.1139/b93-060
- Lommen, W.J.M. & P.C. Struik, (1990). Field performance of minitubers of different sizes. Proceedings of the 11th Triennial conference EAPR, Edinburgh, pp. 376–377.
- Murashige, T. and Skoog, T. (1962). A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco tissue cultures. Physiol Plant., 15: 473-479.
- Nada, H. J.; Mohamed Talal A. S. AL-Habar; Ali O. M. AL-Mosawi. (2017). Response growth and yield of potato *Solanum tuberosum* L. CV. Bureen organic fertilizer with imported, local tubers. Al - Furat Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 9(1): 36-99.
- Powell W, Brown J and Caligari PDS .(1989). Variability in response of potato cultivars to micro-propagation II. Subsequent field performance. Ann Appl Biol 115: 123-28
- Särekanno M., Kadaja J., Kotkas K., Rosenberg V., Eremeev V. (2012). Development of fieldgrown potato plants derived from meristem plants multiplied with different methods. Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section B - Soil and Plant Science, 62(2), 114 – 124.
- Särekanno M., Kadaja J., Kotkas K., Rosenberg V., Vasar V., Saue T., Eremeev V. (2010). Potato seed from meristem plants using EVIKA multiplication methods. Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section B - Soil and Plant Science, 60(2), 101 – 109.
- Struik PC. (2007). The Canon of Potato Science: Minitubers. Potato Res 50: 305-308.
- Wiersema. Siert G. Physiological development of potato seed tubers. (1985). English, Book, Illustrated edition

الخلاصة

الكلمات المفتاحية : البطاطا، حجم الدرنات الصغيرة،، خارج الجسم الحي، الأداء الحقلي، النبيتات .

پوخته

بهراوردییه کا کیلگه هاته کرن بو هنده ک پتاتوکین بچویک کو دجیاواز د قهباره دا بو دوو حورین پتاتان ئیما و بورین, کو سیفاتین بهرههم ئینان ژدهرقه بهستهین زیندی دا بو دوو جورین پتاتان ئیما و بورین, کو سیفاتین بهرههمی و یین شیوه کی یین گهشه کرنکا که سکاتین وهرگرتن پشتی بورینا ۹۰روژان ژ چاندنا وان.د سیفاتین بهرههمی دا , دهرئه نجامان جیاوازیین مهعنه وی دیارکرن د قان سیفه تان دا کو minitubers ژ جوری ئیما د قهباری ۳۰۰ سم دا بلندترین مه معنه وی دیارکرن د قان سیفه تان دا کو saminitubers ژ جوری ئیما د قهباری ۳۰۰ می دا ه مرار بدهست قه ئینان کو __ و کیشا پتاتوکان گههشته ۹۰۰ پتاکوکین رووه کی-۱ , ۳۰۰ می و ه مرار بدهست قه ئینان کو __ و کیشا پتاتوکان گههشته ۹۰۰ پتاکوکین رووه کی-۱ , ۳۰۰ مر ه مرار بدهست قه ئینان کو __ و کیشا پتاتوکان گههشته ۹۰۰ پتاکوکین رووه کی-۱ , ۳۰۰ مرد ۳۲٫۲۰ عم ل دوویف ئیک.پیچه وانه کی قتی چهندی شیانین پتاتوکین ژ جوری بورین د قهباری ۲٫۵ سم دا کارتیکرنه کا نیگه تیف په یداکر ل سهر هه می سیفاتین بهرهه می (۳٫۹۰ ریژا سه دی یا کلوروفیلی نه بیت بریژه کا زوور د قهباری پتاتوکین تا کاریگهر بوون بتنی مه زنتر بو ژ جوری ئیما ح۰٫۳ سم د بلنداهیا روه کی دو به گان کو گیشا __ یا مه زنتر بو ژ جوری ئیما ح۰٫۳ سم د بلنداهیا روه کی دا , ژمارا چهق و به لگان کو کیشا __ یا مه زنتر بو ژ موری گیما ح۰٫۳ سم د بلنداهیا رووه کی دا , ژمارا چه و و به لگان کو کیشا __ یا دوویف ئیک.