EFFECT OF HUMIC ACID AND EM1 FERTILIZERS ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF TWO CUCUMBER CULTIVARS (CUCUMIS SATIVUS L.) UNDER PLASTIC HOUSE CONDITIONS.

 $\mathbf{S}\text{ANAA}\,M.S.\,\text{Rasheed}^*,\,\mathbf{J}\text{Amiaa}\,\text{Mohammed}\,\text{Ahmed}\,,\,\mathbf{O}\text{meed}\,\text{Mohammad}\,\mathbf{D}\text{in},\,\text{and}\,$ SUHAILA RAFEEQ FAREEO^{*}

^{*}Dept. of Horticulture, College of Agricultural Engineering Sciences, University of Duhok, Kurdistan Region-Iraq.

^{*}Dep of Horticulture, Directorate of Agricultural Extension and Scientific Research, Duhok, Kurdistan Region-Iraq.

(Received: June 22, 2020; Accepted for Publication: August 12, 2020)

ABSTRACT

This experiment was conducted in the plastic house at the vegetable research farm of Directorate of Agricultural Extension and Scientific Research of Dohuk, Kurdistan region/ Iraq, during the growing Seasons of 2018-2019, to investigate the effects of Humic acid, (0.8 g.l⁻¹ and 1.6 g.l⁻¹) and EM1 (3 ml.l⁻¹ and 6ml.1¹) in addition to the Control on growth and yield of two Cucumber cultivars (Falcon star and Sayfi F1). The results shows that Falcon star was superior over to Sayfi F1 in plant length and chlorophyll in leaves in fall season (2.91cm and 51.76SPAD), while Sayfi Fi overcome to Falcon star on chlorophyll in leaves, fruit diameter in spring season (47.40SPAD and 2.90cm). No significant effect of fertilizers had been seen in vegetative characters. But the yield characters had significant effects, the 3ml.1⁻¹ of EM had higher value of Fruit weight 111.71g in spring season, while 6ml.l⁻¹ of EM had higher (Plant yield 5.36Kg and Total yield 139.51 ton.ha⁻¹) in fall season. Control treatment had higher fruit length and diameter (17.01cm and 2.91cm) in spring season.

KEY WORD:- Humic acid, EM1 fertilizers, Cultivar, Cucumber.

INTRODUCTION

ucumber (Cucumis sativus L.) is an important vegetable and is considered as one of the most popular members of the Cucurbitaceae family (Lower and Edwards, 1986 and Thoa, 1998). Origen is a native of China and India, and cucumbers growing season is short (3-4 months) since it is not able to bear high temperature during summer further than affecting by low temperature during winter. So, cucumber plants are usually cultured twice in two seasons: spring (early April) and fall (middle August) (Mattlob et al., 1989). The excessive use of agrochemicals has polluted the environment to a great extent and the food produced under such a farm management may not be safe or of a good quality. Public awareness of these problems has shifted the approach towards some alternative measures (Shaxson, 2006). The problems of Iraqi

soils that characterized with the basic nature and its poor in organic matter and what is associated with it of nutrient elements fixation and then affect on yield of crops, so it is necessary to search for other ways for plant nutrition like the use of bioand organic fertilizers. Some substances affect plant growth and its physiological activities and one of them is humic acid which enhances plant growth and soil microorganisms (Leonard, 2008). Al-madhagi (2019) humic at 100 mg.1⁻¹ alone increased the yield about 14.88%. Kazemi (2013), found that foliar spray of hmic acid on cucumber plant has a significant effect in increasing average of plant yield. The cucumber plant spray with humic acid leading to a significant increase in the sum per plant and total yield (El-Nemr et al; 2012). The addition of humic acid foliar to cucumber plant with level 20ml.L⁻¹ achieved a significant increase in the total vield (Unlu et al ;2011). Yousif (2011) showed a significant increase when adding humic foliar or through soil

78

umzori@gmail.com;

Sanaa.rasheed@uod.ac; doskymziry7@gmail.com; suhailafareeq71@gmail.com

on cucumber plant in the percentage of chlorophyll, the total yield and the sum per plant. Bayoumi and Hafez (2006) showed that using fertilizers foliar organic with different concentration led to a significant increase in the properties of vegetable growth which reflected on the increase of vield.

Bio fertilizers play a very important role in improving soil fertility by fixing atmospheric nitrogen, both in association with plant roots and without it, solubilize insoluble soil phosphates and produce plant growth substances in the soil (Venkatashwarlu, 2008). Sangakkara and Higa (1991) found that EM and organic matter promoted the growth of Cucumber. Hanna et al., (2005) stated that application of bio fertilizers (Azotobacter +Azospirillum) significantly increased vegetative growth, early and total yield of cucumber. Gharib (2001) found that inoculated cucumber plants with Azotobacter plus phosphate dissolving bacteria (PDB) led to significant increases in early and total yield of cucumber. Omar and El-Kattan (2001) who conducted an experiment to evaluate the effect of bio fertilization on the yield of some vegetables as Cucumber and Sweet Pepper recorded that bio fertilization of vegetables gave positive effect on yield of both Sweet Pepper and Cucumber. Yousif (2011) reported that applying EM1 to Cucumber caused significant increase in most of plants vegetative growth characteristics plant length, branch number, leaves area, leaves number, chlorophyll percentage. Since a limited research studies have been carried out in this regard in Iraq in general and especially in Kurdistan region, this experiment was conducted to study the effect of humic acid, Biofertilizers (EM-1) on the growth and yield characters of two cucumber Hybrid Falcon star and Sayf F1.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out in plastic house (500 m^2) , $(10 \times 50) \text{ m}^2$, the plastic house was located at the Vegetable Research Farm, Duhok, Kurdistan region/Iraq, during two seasons of 2018-2019. The seeds of two cucumber hybrids were taken (Falcon star and Sayfi F1). The seeds were sown in plastic pots (72) cavity, 1:1mixture of sandy soil : peat moss were preparative for planting. The seeds were planted in two growing seasons Spring and Fall season in (2^{ed} February and 9thJuly). The transplanting done after 30 days in plastic house. All cultural practices including fertilizing, weeding, soil softening around transplants and protective spraying were done to all treatments and the plants irrigated as those of cucumber farm. The humic acid and bio fertilizers EM were sprayed with vegetative growth, four times. The first one was at fruit set, and it was repeated four times every one weeks between sprays. The surfactant agent Tween-80 was added to all solutions at a rate of 0.01% to reduce the surface tension of the solution and the control treatment spray by distilled water contain Tween-80. The experiment comprised the effect of two hybrids Falcon star and Sayfi F1, two concentrations of Humic acid $(0.8 \text{ and } 1.6) \text{ g.l}^{-1}$ and two concentrations of EM (3and 6) ml.1⁻¹ and with control, the treatments was randomly arranged in a factorial experiment in a Randomized Complete Block design (RCBD). The number of experimental units were $(2 \times 5 = 10)$ with three replicates, the number of experiment was (30) units and the results were analyzed statistically by using Duncan test at 0.05% level to verify the differences between mean of treatments (SAS, 2007).

A random sample of five plants from each exprement were taken for determination of vegetative growth, i.e., plant height, number of leaves, chlorophyll content in leaves and leaf area (cm2). And the yield characters i.e. Number of fruits/plant, plant yield (kg), fruit weight (g), total yield (ton.ha-1). All fruits harvested from each treatment through harvesting period were weighted to calculate the total yield per hectar. The random sample of ten fruits were taken for determination the fruits length (cm) and fruit diameters (cm).

RESULTS

Plant Height:-

Data in table (1) shows that no sgnificant effect of cultivars on plant height in spring season, while significant effect has been done on plant high in fall season and falcon star had higher height (2.91cm) compared to sayfi F1 (2.66cm). Regarding the effect of fertilizers, no significant effect occurred on plant height on spring, but in fall season 6 ml.1⁻¹Em had a higher height of plant compared to other treatments. The interaction between cultivars and organic fertilizers in spring season had no significant effect, while in fall had

Sanaa.rasheed@uod.ac; doskymziry7@gmail.com;

umzori@gmail.com; suhailafareeq71@gmail.com

significant effect and the highest value (3.03 cm) was observed between falcon star and 6ml.l^{-1} EM

as compared to others.

Table (1):- Effect of Humic acid and EM on plant height (cm) of two Cucumber Cultivars,	in Spring and
Fall season.	

Spring						
Cultivars		mean of cultivar				
-	control	Humiç	Humiç	EM	EM	
		0.8g.l ⁻ '	1.6g.l ⁻ '	3ml.l ⁻ '	6ml.l ⁻ '	
Falcon star	2.47	2.51	2.71	2.38	2.55	2.53
	а	а	а	а	а	а
Sayfi F1	2.35	2.24	2.42	2.35	2.38	2.35
	а	а	а	а	а	а
Means of Fertilizer	2.41	2.38	2.57	2.37	2.46	
	2	2	2	2	2	

Fall						
		c	organic fertili	izer		mean of cultivar
Cultivars	control	Humic	Humic	EM	EM	-
		0.8g.l ⁻¹	1.6g.l ⁻¹	3ml.l ⁻¹	6mI.I⁻¹	
Falcon star	2.64	2.99	2.93	2.95	3.03	2.91
	ab	а	а	а	а	а
Sayfi F1	2.24	2.58	2.89	2.77	2.80	2.66
	b	ab	а	ab	ab	b
Means of Fertilizer	2.44	2.78	2.91	2.86	2.92	
	b	ab	а	а	а	

Means within a column, row and their interactions followed with the same letters are not significantly different from each other according to Duncan's multiple range test at 0.05% level.

NUMBER OF LEAVES PER PLANT

Table (2) revealed that no significant effect of cultivars and organic fertilizers on No. of leaves per plant in two growing seasons.

As the interaction effects had slightly significant effect and falcon star with 1.6 g.l^{-1} humic acid gave the highest No. of leaves on spring season, but no significant effect of interaction on fall season.

 Table (2):-Effect of Humic acid and EM on No. of leaves of two Cucumber Cultivars, in Spring and Fall season.

 Spring

Cultivars		01	ganic fertilize	er		mean of cultivar
	control	Humic 0.8g.l ⁻¹	Humic 1.6g.I ⁻¹	EM 3ml.l ⁻¹	EM 6ml.l ⁻¹	-
Falcon star	36.67b	41.67	42.00	38.33	40.67	39.87
		а	а	ab	ab	а
Sayfi F1	38.33	39.33	38.33	36.00	38.67	38.13
	ab	ab	ab	b	ab	а
Means of Fertilizer	37.50	40.50	40.17	37.17	39.67	
	а	а	а	а	а	
Fall						
Cultivars		0	rganic fertiliz	er		mean of cultivar
	control	Humic 0.8g.I ⁻¹	Humic 1.6g.I ⁻¹	EM 3ml.l ⁻¹	EM 6ml.l ⁻¹	
Falcon star	42.00	46.11	47.56	46.45	46.11	45.65
	а	а	а	а	а	а
Sayfi F1	43.23	41.97	43.75	44.75	44.45	43.63
	а	а	а	а	а	а
Means of Fertilizer	42.61	44.04	45.65	45.60	45.28	
	а	а	а	а	а	

Sanaa.rasheed@uod.ac; doskymziry7@gmail.com; umzori@gmail.com; suhailafareeq71@gmail.com

Means within a column, row and their interactions followed with the same letters are not significantly different from each other according to Duncan's multiple range test at 0.05% level.

Chlorophyll content in leaves (SPAD):-

Table (3) shows that significant effect of cultivars on chlorophyll content in leaves and the higher effect (47.40%) in sayfi F1 cultivars in spring season, while in fall season falcon star (51.76%) had overcome to sayfi F1 cultivar. The effect of fertilizers had no significant effect on chlorophyll content in leaves in two seasons. The

interaction between cultivars and fertilizers had significant effect and the interaction between sayfi F1 and control treatment gave the maximum chlorophyll content in leaves (49.42%) compared to other interaction on spring season, while in fall season the interaction between falcon star and 1.6 g.l⁻¹ humic acid gave the highest value (53.33%) compared to other interaction.

 Table (3):-Effect of Humic acid, EM on Chlorophyll content in leaves of two Cucumber Cultivars, in

 Spring and Fall season.

Cultivars		mean of cultivar				
	control	Humic 0.8g.l ⁻¹	Humic 1.6g.l ⁻¹	EM 3ml.l ⁻¹	EM 6ml.l ⁻¹	-
Falcon star	42.24	46.22	43.64	44.27	44.38	44.15
	b	ab	ab	ab	ab	b
Sayfi F1	49.42	46.96	47.45	49.15	44.01	47.40
	а	ab	ab	ab	ab	а
Means of Fertilizer	45.83	46.59	45.55	46.71	44.19	
	а	а	а	а	а	

Cultivars		mean of cultivar				
	control	Humic 0.8g.l-1	Humic 1.6g.l-1	EM 3ml.l-1	EM6ml.I-1	
Falcon star	52.93	52.80	53.33	51.30	48.43	51.76
	ab	ab	а	abc	abc	а
Sayfi F1	49.60	46.87	49.93	45.10	46.67	47.63
	abc	abc	abc	С	bc	b
Means of Fertilizer	51.27	49.83	51.63	48.20	47.55	
	а	а	а	а	а	

Means within a column, row and their interactions followed with the same letters are not significantly different from each other according to Duncan's multiple range test at 0.05% level.

No. of fruits per plant (f.plant⁻¹):-

Results in table (4) shows that no significant effect of cultivars and two fertilizers on the No. of

fruit per plant in spring and fall seasons. While, in the interaction between them had significant effect, falcon star sprayed with 0.8g.1 gave the highest number of fruit (42.97 and 53.02 f.plant⁻¹) compared to other interaction in spring and fall seasons respectively.

 Table (4):- Effect of Humic acid, EM and their interaction on No. of fruits f.plant⁻¹ of two Cucumber Cultivars, in Spring and Fall season.

			spring			
Cultivars		mean of cultivar				
	control	Humic	Humic	EM	EM	-
		0.8g.l ⁻¹	1.6g.l ⁻¹	3ml.l ⁻¹	6ml.l ⁻¹	
Falcon star	33.72	42.97	40.35	40.46	41.50	39.80
	С	а	abc	abc	ab	а
Sayfi F1	34.60	39.67	37.37	39.85	36.89	37.67
	bc	abc	abc	abc	abc	а

Sanaa.rasheed@uod.ac; doskymziry7@gmail.com; umzori@gmail.com; suhailafareeq71@gmail.com

Means of Fertilizer	34.16	41.32	38.86	40.15	39.19	
	b	а	а	а	а	
fall						
Cultivars		C	organic fertiliz	er		mean of cultivar
	control	Humic 0.8g.l ⁻¹	Humic 1.6g.l ⁻¹	EM 3ml.l ⁻¹	EM 6ml.l ⁻¹	-
Falcon star	48.75 ab	53.02 a	49.66 ab	47.13 ab	50.90 ab	49.89 a
Sayfi F1	46.74 ab	49.97 ab	42.90 b	46.54 ab	47.47 ab	46.73 a
Means of Fertilizer	47.75 a	51.49 a	46.28 a	46.84 a	49.18 a	

Means within a column, row and their interactions followed with the same letters are not significantly different from each other according to Duncan's multiple range test at 0.05% level.

Plant yield (Kg.plant⁻¹):-

Data in table (5) revealed that no significant effect of cultivars and fertilizers on plant yield of cucumber in spring season and no significant effect of cultivars in fall season, while significant effect of fertilizers had been observed and the $6ml.l^{-1}$ of EM gave the highest value (5.36kg) compared to control (4.65kg). As the interaction effect, the interaction between falcon star and $3ml.l^{-1}$ of EM gave the highest value (7.38kg) compared to lower value (4.55kg) between falcon star and control treatment in spring season, but in fall season the interaction between falcon star and $6ml.l^{-1}EM$ gave the highest significant yield (5.58kg) compared to others.

 Table (5):- Effect of Humic acid, EM on Plant yield Kg.plant⁻¹ of two Cucumber Cultivars, in Spring and Fall season.

Spring

Cultivars		or	ganic fertilize	r		mean of cultivar
	control	Humic 0.8g.I ⁻¹	Humic 1.6g.l ⁻¹	EM 3ml.l ⁻¹	EM 6ml.l ⁻¹	
Falcon star	4.55	7.07	7.27	7.38	6.49	6.55
	d	ab	ab	а	ab	а
Sayfi F1	5.02	6.19	6.43	6.51	5.90	6.01
	cd	abc	abc	ab	bcd	а
Means of Fertilizer	4.785	6.63	6.85	6.95	6.19	
	b	а	а	а	а	
Cultivars		or	ganic fertilizer	r		mean of
_	control	Humic 0.8g.l ⁻¹	Humic 1.6g.l ⁻¹	EM 3ml.l ⁻¹	EM 6ml.l ⁻¹	— cultivar
Falcon star	4.69	5.27	5.06	5.35	5.58	5.19
	bcd	abc	a-d	ab	а	а
Sayify F1	4.60	5.33	4.51	5.06	5.14	4.93
	cd	ab	d	a-d	a-d	а
Means of	4.65	5.30	4.79	5.21	5.36	
Fertilizer	С	а	bc	ab	а	

Means within a column, row and their interactions followed with the same letters are not significantly different from each other according to Duncan's multiple range test at 0.05% level.

Fruit Weight (g):-

Results in table (6) shows that no significant effect of cultivar, fertilizers and their interaction in fruit weight on spring season and cultivars in fall season, while the effect of fertilizers in fall season had significant effect and the maximum value occurred in $3ml.l^{-1}$ EM (111.70g) compared to minimum value (96.55g) in control treatment. The interaction effect in fall season showed that no significant effects in fruit weight of cucumber.

 Table (6):- Effect of Humic acid, EM on Fruit weight g of two Cucumber Cultivars, in Spring and Fall season.

spring

Cultivars	organic fertilizer						
	control	Humic 0.8g.l ⁻¹	Humic 1.6g.I ⁻¹	EM 3ml.l ⁻¹	EM 6ml.l ⁻¹	cultivar	
Falcon star	139.86	165.00	180.36	183.02	157.69	165.19	
	а	а	а	а	а	а	
Sayfi F1	150.35	156.14	171.57	164.53	159.46	160.41	
·	а	а	а	а	а	а	
Means of	145.10	160.57	175.97	173.77	158.57		
Fertilizer	а	а	а	а	а		
Cultivars		mean o					

Cultivars		mean of				
	control	Humic	Humic	EM	EM	- cultivar
		0.8g.l ⁻¹	1.6g.l ⁻¹	3ml.I ⁻¹	6ml.l ⁻¹	
Falcon star	96.55	100.08	102.21	113.76	109.71	104.46
	а	а	а	а	а	а
Sayfi F1	98.48	106.79	105.75	109.64	108.23	105.78
	а	а	а	а	а	а
Means of	97.51	103.44	103.98	111.70	108.97	
Fertilizer	b	ab	ab	а	ab	

Means within a column, row and their interactions followed with the same letters are not significantly different from each other according to Duncan's multiple range test at 0.05% level.

Total yield (ton.ha⁻¹):-

Table (7) revealed that no significant effect of cultivar and fertilizers in total yield on spring season and cultivars in fall season, while significant effect of fertilizer in fall season and the highest result (139.51 ton.ha⁻¹) when sprayed cucumber with 6ml.l⁻¹ EM compared to control

treatment (121.10 ton.ha⁻¹). The interaction effect on total yield had significant effect, the interaction between falcon star and 3ml.l⁻¹EM gave the highest value (192.26ton.ha⁻¹) in spring, while in fall season the interaction between falcon star and 6ml.l⁻¹EM which gave the highest value (145.18ton.ha⁻¹)

 Table (7):- Effect of Humic acid, EM on Total yield ton.ha⁻¹ of two Cucumber Cultivars, in Spring and Fall season

ing						
Cultivars	ivars organic fertilizer					
	control	Humic 0.8g.I ⁻¹	Humic 1.6g.I ⁻¹	EM 3ml.l ⁻¹	EM 6ml.l ⁻¹	- cultivar
Falcon star	118.43	184.08	189.24	192.26	168.88	170.57
	d	ab	ab	a	ab	a
Sayfi F1	130.75	161.27	167.29	169.49	153.61	156.48
	cd	abc	abc	ab	bcd	a
Means of	124.59	172.68	178.26	180.87	161.24	
Fertilizer	a	a	a	a	a	

Fall

Cultivars	organic fertilizer						
	control	Humic 0.8a.l-1	Humic	EM 3ml.I-1	EM6ml.I-1	cultivar	
Falcon star	122.19	137.21	131.62	139.40	145.18	135.20	
	bcd	abc	a-d	ab	а	а	
Sayfi F1	119.83	138.75	117.52	131.71	133.83	128.33	
	cd	ab	d	a-d	a-d	а	
Means of	121.10	137.98	124.57	135.56	139.51		
Fertilizer	С	а	bc	ab	а		

Means within a column, row and their interactions followed with the same letters are not significantly different from each other according to Duncan's multiple range test at 0.05% level.

Fruit diameter (cm):-

Table (8) shows that significant effect of cultivar on fruit diameter in spring season and the sayfi F1 had higher diameter than falcon star (2.90, 2.79cm) respectively. While no significant effect between two cultivars in fall season.

The effect of fertilizers on fruit diameter had significant effect in spring season and the control gave the highest value (2.91cm), while in fall season had no significant effect. The inter action between cultivars and fertilizers had no significant effects on fruit diameter of cucumber.

 Table (8):- Effect of Humic acid, EM on Fruit diameter cm of two Cucumber Cultivars, in Spring and Fall season.

			spring			
Cultivars		mean of				
	control	Humic 0.8g.l ⁻¹	Humic 1.6g.l ⁻¹	EM 3ml.l ⁻¹	EM 6ml.l ⁻¹	Cultival
Falcon star	2.87	2.70	2.84	2.82	2.70	2.79
	а	b	ab	ab	b	b
Sayfi F1	2.95	2.83	2.87	2.92	2.93	2.90
	а	ab	а	а	а	а
Means of Fertilizer	2.91	2.77	2.85	2.87	2.82	
	а	b	ab	ab	ab	

Cultivars	organic fertilizer					mean of
	control	Humic 0.8g.l ⁻¹	Humic 1.6g.l ⁻¹	EM 3ml.I ⁻¹	EM 6ml.l ⁻¹	cultivar
Falcon star	2.92	2.92	2.97	2.95	2.93	2.94
	а	а	а	а	а	а
Sayfi F1	2.91	2.92	2.92	3.00	2.98	2.95
	а	а	а	а	а	а
Means of Fertilizer	2.92	2.92	2.95	2.98	2.96	
	а	а	а	а	а	

Means within a column, row and their interactions followed with the same letters are not significantly different from each other according to Duncan's multiple range test at 0.05% level.

Fruit length (cm):-

Data in table (9) shows that no significant effect of cultivar on fruit length in spring and fall and seasons and fertilizers in fall season, while significant effect of fertilizers in spring season and the control and 1.6g.l⁻¹humic had highest value (17.01 and 16.83cm) respectively. The inter action between cultivars and fertilizers had significant effects on fruit length of cucumber.

			spring			
Cultivars	organic fertilizer					
	control	Humic 0.8g.l ⁻¹	Humic 1.6g.l ⁻¹	EM 3ml.l ⁻¹	EM 6ml.l ⁻¹	cultivar
Falcon star	17.12	15.62	16.86	16.51	16.02	16.43
	а	С	а	ab	bc	а
Sayfi F1	16.89	16.67	16.79	16.65	16.44	16.69
-	а	ab	ab	ab	ab	а
Means of	17.01	16.15	16.83	16.58	16.23	
Fertilizer	а	b	а	ab	b	
Cultivars	organic fertilizer					mean of
_	control	Humic 0.8g.l ⁻¹	Humic 1.6g.l ⁻¹	EM 3ml.l ⁻¹	EM 6ml.l ⁻¹	— cultivar
Falcon star	14.37	15.02	15.43	15.16	15.02	15.00
	С	abc	а	ab	abc	а
Sayfi F1	15.00	14.61	14.73	14.87	14.74	14.79
-	abc	bc	abc	abc	abc	а
Means of	14.69	14.81	15.08	15.01	14.88	
Fertilizer	а	а	а	а	а	

Table (9):- Effect of Humic acid, EM on Fruit length cm of two Cucumber Cultivars, in Spring and Fall season.

Means within a column, row and their interactions followed with the same letters are not significantly different from each other according to Duncan's multiple range test at 0.05% level.

THE EFFECT OF SEASON:-

Table (10) shows that effect between two seasons on all parameters of growth and yield, in spring the parameters (Plant yield Kg, Total yield ton.ha⁻¹, Fruit length cm) had higher value (6.28kg, 16kg.53ton.ha⁻¹, 16.56 cm)respectively compared to fall season, while in fall season the

parameters (Length of plant cm, No. of leaves, Chlorophyll content in leaves, No. of fruits f.plant and Fruit diameter cm) gave the highest value 1 (2.78cm, 44.64 leaves, 49.70%, 48.31f. plant⁻¹ and 2.94cm) respectively. While no significant effect of seasons on fruit weight g of cucumber.

Parameters	Seasons		
	Spring	Fall	
Length of plant cm	2.44	2.78	
· ·	b	а	
No. of leaves	39.00	44.64	
	b	а	
Chlorophyll content in leaves	45.77	49.70	
	b	а	
No. of fruits f.plant ⁻¹	38.74	48.31	
	b	а	
Plant yield Kg	6.28	5.06	
	а	b	

Total yield ton.ha ⁻¹	163.53	131.73
	а	b
Fruit diameter cm	2.84	2.94
	b	а
Fruit length cm	16.56	14.90
	а	b
Fruit weight g	162.80	105.12
	а	b

DISCUSSION

Preceding study and research confirmed that humic substances had a beneficial effects on plant physiology. It was noted that it had direct effects on cell membrane permeability, respiration, nucleic acid, biosynthesis, absorption, ion hormone and enzyme activity (Chen and Schnitzer, 1978). Humic acid was reported to increase plant height. Humic acid plays a vital role to provide minerals, nutrient (nitrogen, potassium and phosphorous) uptake, necessary for plant growth. In addition, it is acting as a source of plant growth regulators, carbohydrates, amino acids, and vitamins. Abbas (2013) reported that humic acid led to increase the level of endogenous substances cytokinin, gibberellins, i.e: and auxin. Additionally, it can also be used as a carrier for trace elements and growth regulators. Thus, increases in nutrient uptake enhanced the vegetative growth of the plant, stimulates plant growth hormones and increasing cell division (Atiyeh et al., 2002). In the current study, the application of the humic acid increased the yield of cucumber. Moreover, the role of the humic acid in terms of increasing yield probably due to increase the average of single fruit weight as found by Ekİncİ et al. (2015), found that humic acid treatments applied at different rates positively affected the total marketable yield, average fruit weight, fruit diameter, fruit length of tomato and cucumber. While in the current study, yield was recorded according to the market needed (20-25 fruits Kg⁻¹).

The EM1 leads to the activation of photosynthetic processes (which increases the formation of chlorophyll, protein and the activity of a number of enzymes, and particularly, increases peroxide activity) in plants (Winget and Gold, 2007). This is an important factor for promoting the growth and development of plants. EM1 is able to increase the formation chlorophyll-green pigment in plants, which takes part in the

processes of absorption of solar energy, carbon dioxide and other substances and supports the growth and developments of plants. The enhancement of flowering and yield of tomato by EM1 may be attributed to the role of EM1 that promoted yield and photosynthesis by enhancing root development and activity. The significant beneficial effects of EM1 could be due to either the interactions between beneficial organisms, the organic matter and metabolic substances included in EM1 or its capacity to produce these growth promoters subsequently (Yamanda et al., 1996). The increased yield from the application of EM1 may have been caused by the production of growth -enhancing compounds such as indol acetic acid and gibberellins which may have positively influenced the plant growth and yield (Rao, 1986). As the seasonal effect the spring season overcome to fall season on characters (Plant yield Kg, Total yield ton.ha-1, Fruit length cm and Fruit weight g), while fall season superior to spring season on character (Length of plant cm, No. of leaves, Chlorophyll content in leaves, No. of fruits f.plant-1 and fruit diameter cm).

REFERENCES:-

- Abbas, S.M. (2013). The influence of biostimulants on the growth and on the biochemical composition of Vicia faba cv. Giza 3 beans. Romanian Biotechnological Letters 18(2):8061-8068.
- Al-madhagi, I. A. H.(2019). Effect of humic acid and yeast on greenhouse cucumber, JOURNAL OF HORTICULTURE AND POSTHARVEST RESEARCH 2019, VOL. 2(1): 67-82.
- AL-Rawi, Kh.M. and A.A.M. Khalaf Alah (2000). Design and analysis of Agricultural experiments. Musol Univ. Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research. Iraq. (In Arabic).

Sanaa.rasheed@uod.ac; doskymziry7@gmail.com; umzori@gmail.com; suhailafareeq71@gmail.com

- Atiyeh, R.M., S., Lee, C.A., Edwards, N.Q., Arancon, & J.D. Metzger, (2002). The influence of humic acids derived from earthworm-processed organic wastes on plant growth. Bioresource Technology, 84(1):7-14. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(02)00017-2.
- Bayoumi, Y.A.and , Y.M. Hafez, (2006). Effect of organic fertilizer combined with benzo (1,2,3) thiadiazole -7-carbothioic acid Smethy ester (BTH) on the cucumber powdery mildew and the Yield production . Acta Biologica Szegediensis 50 (3-4):131-136.
- Chen, Y. & Schnitzer, M. (1978). The surface tension of aqueous solutions of soil humic substances. Soil Science Society of America ,(125): 7-15.
- Ekİncİ, M., Esrİngü, A., Dursun, A., Yıldırım, E., Turan, M., Karaman, M. R., & Arjumend, T. (2015). Growth, yield, and calcium and boron uptake of tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum L.) and cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.) as affected by calcium and boron application greenhouse humate in conditions. Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry, 39(5): 613-632. doi:10.3906/tar-1406-59.
- El-Nemr, M.A., M EL-Desuki, A.M.EL-Bassiony and Z.F.Fawzy. (2012) Respose of Growth and Yield of Cucumber plants (Cucumis sativus L.) to Different Foliar Applications of Humic acid and Bio stimulators . Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 6(3):630-637.
- Gharib, M.G. (2001): Response of two cucumber cultivars to biofertilization under plastic house condition. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric. Cairo Univ. 183 pp.
- Hanna, m.m.; S.A. Kabeel and F.M.A. Darwish (2005): Effect of organic and biofertilizers on growth yield and fruit quality of cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.) grown under clear polyethylene low tunnels. J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 30(5): 2827-2841.

- Kazemi, M.(2013). Effect of Foliar Application of Humic Acid and Potassium Nitrate on Growth.Bull.Env.Pharmacol. Cucumber Live Sci. Vol. 2(11): 3-6.
- Leonard, A.G. (2008). Humic acid: 100% natural, uses. Golden Harrest organic many .LLCTM.
- Lower, R.L. and M.D. Edwards. (1986). Cucumber breeding In: M J Basset (Ed.). Breeding vegetables crops. Westport, Connecticut USA: AVI Publishing Co. pp. 173-203.
- Mattlob, A.N.; E. Sultan and K.S. Abdul. (1989). Vegetable production. Part two. Dar AL-Kutub publication on Mosul University, Iraq. (In Arabic).
- Omar, M.N.A. and M.H. El-Kattan (2001). Utilization of microbial inoculants for the enhancement of some vegetables yield under protected agriculture system. ishs acta horticulture 608: International Symposium on The Horizons of Using Organic Matter and Substrates in Horticulture.
- Rao, N.S.S. (1986). The soil Microorganisms and plant Growth. Oxford and IBH Publishing Co., Calcutta, India.
- Sangakkara, U.R. and T. Higa (1991). Effect of EM on the growth and yield of selected food crops in Sri Lanka.
- SAS Institute, Inc (2007). Statistical analysis system. SAS institute Inc., Cary, NC. USA.
- Shaxson, T.F. (2006).Re-thinking the conservation of carbon, water and soil: a different perspective. Agron. Sustain. Dev. 26: 9-19. Giles, J. (2004). Is organic food better for us? Nat. (Lond.). 428: 796-797.
- Thoa, D.K. (1998). Cucumber seed multiplication AVRDC/ARC and characterization. Training Thailand.
- Unlu,H. H. Ozdamar., Y. Unlu., Karakurt and H.Padem. (2011). Changes in fruit yield and quality in response to foliar and soil humic acid application in cucumber. Scientific Research and Essays 6(13): 2800-2803.
- Venkatashwarlu, B. (2008). Role of biofertilizers in organic farming: Organic

Sanaa.rasheed@uod.ac; doskymziry7@gmail.com; umzori@gmail.com; suhailafareeq71@gmail.com farming in rain fed agriculture: Central institute for dry land agriculture, Hyderabad. pp. 85-95.

- Winget, H. and T. Gold (2007). Effects of Effective Microorganisms TM on the growth of liguman (*Brassica rapa*). Brigham Young University of Hawaii Bio 493 Yuka Nakano.
- Yamanda, K.; S. Dato; M. Fujita; H.L. Xu; K. Katase and H. Umemura. (1996).

Investigations on the properties of EM Bokashi and development of its application technology. Proc. 5th Conf. on effective microorganisms (EM). Sara Buri, Thailand.

Yousif, K.H. (2011). Effect of humic acid, biofertilizer (EM-1) and application methods on growth, flowering and yield of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). A MSc. Thesis Submitted .College of Agriculture, Univ. of Duhok, Iraq.

كارتێكرنا زبلێ ترشێ هيوميك و EM1 لسەر كەشەكرنا وبەرهمێ دوو چورێن خياری (Cucumis sativus L.) لبن كاودانێت خانيی پلاستیکی

پوخته

ئەف قەكولىنە ياھاتيە ئەنجامدان ل خانىٽ پلاستىكى لزفىێن زرزەواتى يا بەرەف رێڨەبەريا شيرەتتكاريين چاندنٽ و قەكولىنين زانستى يا دھوكى -ھەرێما كوردستان- عراق. بو سالا خاندنٽ 2018-2019 بو دياركرنا كارتيكرنا زبلٽ ترشٽ ھيوميك (0.8 غم.لتر-1 و 1.6 غم.لتر-1) و 3) EMمل.لتر-1 و 6 مل.لتر-1) و دكەل كونترولى لسەر گەشەكرنٽ وبەرھەمٽ دوو چورێن خيارى (سەيفى F1 و ڤالكون). ئەنجام ھاتنە دياركرن ب سەركەفتنا چورێ فالكون بشێوەكٽ بەرجاڤ لسەر چورێ سەيفى F1 ب سالوخەتێن درێژيا رروەكى و نسبا كلوروفيلى د بەلگادا ل وەرزێ پايزێ (2.91 مەر چورێ سەيفى F1 ب سالوخەتێن درێژيا رروەكى و نسبا كلوروفيلى د بەلگادا ل وەرزێ پايزێ (2.91 مەر و SPDA 47.40) , بەلٽ چورٽ سەيفى بسەركەفت لسەر چورێ فالكون د سالوخەتێن نسبا كلوروفيلى د بەلگاداو و درێژيا فێقى خيارى د وەرزێ بھارێ (. 47.40 و 2.90 يورێ سم). زبلٽ ترشى ھيوميك و EM چ ئەنجامێت بەرچاف نەبوون دسالوخەتێن گەشەكرنٽ. بەلى رەشاندن ب فالكون د سالوخەتێن نسبا كلوروفيلى د بەلگاداو و درێژيا فێقى خيارى د وەرزێ بھارێ (. 47.40 و 2.91 يورێ سم). زبلٽ ترشى ھيوميك و EM چ ئەنجامێت بەرچاف نەبوون دسالوخەتێن گەشەكرنێ. بەلى رەشاندن ب فالكون د سالوخەتين دابرا كاردوفيلى د بەلگاداو و دريژيا فيقى دىيارى د وەرزێ بھارى (. بەلى رەشاندن ب فالكون د سالوخەتين الارك داري و EM چ ئەنجامێت بەرچاف نەبوون دسالوخەتين گەشەكرنێ. بەلى رەشاندن ب دورى ياي ترشى ھيوميك دو EM چ ئەنجاميت بەرچاف نەبوون دسالوخەتين گەشەكرنى بەلى دوشاندن ب مەرىز ياي ترشى ھيوميك دو EM چ ئەنجاميت بەرچاف نەبوون دسالوخەتين ئەچورى يەلىرى دابى دەشەندى بە مەرىز يورى دابى دابى دابى ئەگەرى زيدونونا بەرچاف يا بەرھەمى د رووەكى دا. بەلى دوساندنا دونەمى دا 139.51 تى دونم-1 د دەرزى پايزى دار بى رەشاندن (كونترول) بو ئەگەرى زيدەبوونا دريژى و تاثير تسميد الهيوميك اسيد و EM1 على نمو وحاصل صنفين من الخيار (.Cucumis sativus L)النامي تحت ظروف البيت البلاستيكى

الخلاصة

اجريت التجربة داخل البيت البلاستيكى لحقول الخضراوات التابع لدائرة الارشاد الزراعي والبحث العلمي في دهوك- كردستان – العراق. خلال الموسم الزراعي 2018-2019 لبيان تاثيرالرش ب هيوميك اسيد بتراكيز (8.0 و 1.6 مل.لتر⁻¹) و EM1 بتراكيز (3 و6 مل.لتر⁻¹) مع معاملة المقارنة على نمو وانتاجية صنفين من الخيار (سيفي F1 و فالكون). بينت النتائج بتفوق صنف فالكون على صنف سيفي في صفات طول النبات ونسبة الكلوروفيل في الاوراق (2.1% مم و2.1% (3 و6 مل.لتر⁻¹) مع معاملة المقارنة على نمو وانتاجية صنفين من الخيار على صنف فالكون). بينت النتائج بتفوق صنف فالكون على صنف سيفي في صفات طول النبات ونسبة الكلوروفيل في الاوراق (2.1% مم و2.1% (3 و 6 مل.لتر⁻¹) مع موسم الخريفي, ولكن تفوق صنف سيفي على صنف فالكون في صفات نسبة الكلوروفيل في الاوراق و طول الثمرة (47.4% و 2.9% مم) على التوالى في الموسم الربيعي. لم يكن لمعاملات التسميد تاثير معنوي على صفات النمو, ولكن كان للتسميد تاثير معنوى على بعض الصفات الحاصل, الرش ب 3مل.لتر⁻¹ EM1 اعطى اعلى وزن ثمرة (1.111 غم) في الموسم الربيعى, رش الخيار ب 6 مل.لتر⁻¹ EM1 اعطى اعلى حاصل النبات (5.3% كغم.نبات⁻¹) و حاصل الكلي (13.5% علي مي الكار و قطر الربيعى, وش الخيار ب 6 مل.لتر⁻¹ EM1 اعطى اعلى دان النورة معنوية في صفات النمو, ولكن كان للتسميد تاثير المربيعى, وش الخيار م 6 مل.لتر⁻¹ EM1 اعطى اعلى وزن ثمرة (7.111 غم) في الموسم الربيعى, وش الخيار م 6 مل.لتر⁻¹ المعار اعلى حاصل النبات (7.5% كغم.نبات⁻¹) و حاصل الكلي